



...Y...  
...COL  
...TERRY, CALIFORNIA 93043-5008





# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



## THESIS

L42585

THE DE-EMBEDMENT OF NETWORK-ANALYZER  
MEASUREMENTS

by

Whan Su Lee

March 1988

Thesis Advisor:

H.A. Atwater

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

T239064



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a Report Security Classification <b>Unclassified</b>		1b Restrictive Markings	
2a Security Classification Authority		3 Distribution Availability of Report	
2b Declassification Downgrading Schedule		Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
4 Performing Organization Report Number(s)		5 Monitoring Organization Report Number(s)	
6a Name of Performing Organization Naval Postgraduate School	6b Office Symbol (if applicable) 62	7a Name of Monitoring Organization Naval Postgraduate School	
6c Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000		7b Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000	
8a Name of Funding Sponsoring Organization	8b Office Symbol (if applicable)	9 Procurement Instrument Identification Number	
8c Address (city, state, and ZIP code)		10 Source of Funding Numbers	
		Program Element No	Project No
		Task No	Work Unit Accession No
11 Title (include security classification) <b>THE DE-EMBEDMENT OF NETWORK-ANALYZER MEASUREMENTS</b>			
12 Personal Author(s) <b>Whan Su Lee</b>			
13a Type of Report Master's Thesis	13b Time Covered From To	14 Date of Report (year, month, day) March 1988	15 Page Count 99
16 Supplementary Notation The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.			
17 Cosati Codes		18 Subject Terms (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
Field	Group	Subgroup	Device de-embedment, scattering coefficients, microstrip circuit measurements.
19 Abstract (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
In the measurement of microwave circuits and devices, the data reduction of two-port measurement data obtained at the external terminals of microstrip-coupled devices, with correction for measurement error, can be obtained by de-embedment procedures with use of the HP 8409B vector network analyzer and a Fortran program.			
20 Distribution Availability of Abstract		21 Abstract Security Classification	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> unclassified unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> same as report <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC users		<b>Unclassified</b>	
22a Name of Responsible Individual H.A. Atwater		22b Telephone (include Area code) (408) 646-3001	22c Office Symbol 62An

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The De-embedment of Network-Analyzer Measurements

by

Whan Su Lee  
Major, Korean Air Force  
B.S., Korean Air Force Academy, 1978

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
March 1988



## ABSTRACT

In the measurement of microwave circuits and devices, the data reduction of two-port measurement data obtained at the external terminals of microstrip-coupled devices, with correction for measurement error, can be obtained by de-embedding procedures with use of the HP 8409B vector network analyzer and a Fortran program.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	I
A. BACKGROUND .....	1
B. SCOPE .....	3
II. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FIXTURES .....	4
A. CHARACTERIZATION CHOICES .....	4
B. THE TEST FIXTURE .....	5
III. MICROSTRIP LINE FOR THE FIXTURE .....	II
A. MICROSTRIP LINE .....	II
B. MICROSTRIP STUB-RESONANCE EVALUATION OF DIELECTRIC CONSTANT .....	12
C. CHARACTERISTIC IMPEDANCE OF MICROSTRIP LINE .....	14
D. STUB LOAD IMPEDANCE AND REFLECTION COEFFICIENT .....	15
IV. APPLICATION OF THE DE-EMBEDDING PROCEDURE .....	19
A. MEASUREMENT OF THE EMBEDDING FIXTURE .....	19
B. S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE .....	19
C. DE-EMBEDDING PROCEDURE .....	22
1. Background .....	22
2. Device Embedment .....	30
3. De-embedding the Device .....	32
V. FURTHER DE - EMBEDDING METHODS .....	37
A. MEASUREMENT OF DEVICE PARAMETERS USING A SYMMETRIC FIXTURE. ....	37
1. Background .....	37
2. De-Embedding of a Filter Unit .....	40
B. TSD (THROUGH, SHORT, DELAY) METHOD .....	47
1. The Basic Concept .....	47
2. The Equations and their Explicit solution .....	48

3. Discussion .....	53
VI. CONCLUSION .....	54
APPENDIX A. THE OUTPUTS OF THE NETWORK ANALYZER AND THEIR REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS FOR FIXTURE A AND B .....	57
APPENDIX B. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURES .....	63
APPENDIX C. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE EMBEDDED AND DE-EMBEDDED 51 OHM RESISTOR .....	65
APPENDIX D. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE, FILTER EMBED- DED, FILTER WITHOUT FIXTURES AND FILTER DE-EMBEDDED .....	68
APPENDIX E. FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR DE-EMBEDDING THE 51 OHM RESISTOR .....	72
APPENDIX F. FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR DE-EMBEDDING THE BANDPASS FILTER .....	81
LIST OF REFERENCES .....	87
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST .....	89

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	THE MEASUREMENT OF EFFECTIVE RELATIVE DIELECTRIC CONSTANT .....	14
Table 2.	THE LOAD IMPEDANCE OF REFERENCE STUB LOADS .....	17
Table 3.	THE STUB REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS .....	18
Table 4.	THE COMPARISON OF THE OUTPUT FOR THE 51 OHM RESISTOR IN DB UNITS .....	55
Table 5.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 1(FIXTURE A) .....	57
Table 6.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 2 (FIXTURE A) .....	58
Table 7.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 3 (FIXTURE A) .....	59
Table 8.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 1 (FIXTURE B) .....	60
Table 9.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 2 (FIXTURE B) .....	61
Table 10.	THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 3 (FIXTURE B) .....	62
Table 11.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF FIXTURE A .....	63
Table 12.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF FIXTURE B .....	63
Table 13.	THE THEORETICAL S - PARAMETERS OF THE 51 OHM RESISTOR IN DB UNITS. ....	65
Table 14.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF BOTH FIXTURE AND 51 OHM RESISTOR .....	65
Table 15.	THE DE - EMBEDDED OUTPUT OF 51 OHM RESISTOR DEVICE UNDER TEST. ....	66
Table 16.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE .....	68
Table 17.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF FILTER + FIXTURE .....	69
Table 18.	THE S - PARAMETERS OF FILTER WITHOUT FIXTURES IN DB UNITS .....	69
Table 19.	THE DE-EMBEDDED OUTPUT OF FILTER UNDER TEST .....	70

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Block Diagram and Signal Flow Graph of a Typical Fixturing Set-up	2
Figure 2.	The Four Main Choices of N.A.-Fixturing Characterization.	4
Figure 3.	The Flow Diagram and Block Diagram of the Fixture.	6
Figure 4.	One Port De-Embedment	7
Figure 5.	Microstrip Line Dimensions.	12
Figure 6.	Measurement Setup for Dielectric Constant Measurement	13
Figure 7.	Determination of the Max. dB Loss Point.	13
Figure 8.	Experimental Setup for Characterization of the Fixture	16
Figure 9.	Measurement of the Load for Fixture A	20
Figure 10.	Measurement of the Load for Fixture B	21
Figure 11.	Signal Flow Graph for Measurements on a Two - Port Network	23
Figure 12.	Signal Flow Graph with a Through - Line	24
Figure 13.	Device and Fixture Assembly for the 51 Ohm Resistor	26
Figure 14.	The Block Diagram of the De-Embedding Procedure	27
Figure 15.	Theoretical Scattering Parameters of 51 Ohm Resistor	29
Figure 16.	Signal Flow graph for the Measurement of the (Fixture + Device) S-parameters	30
Figure 17.	The Magnitude Plot of Both Microstrip Fixture and 51 Ohm Resistor	31
Figure 18.	Magnitude Plot of the De-embedded Parameters of 51 Ohm Resistor	33
Figure 19.	Comparison of the S11 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values	34
Figure 20.	Comparison of the S12 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values	35
Figure 21.	Comparison of the S22 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values	36
Figure 22.	Device Embedded Between Symmetric Fixture and Test Fixture	38
Figure 23.	The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of Both Fixture and Filter	41
Figure 24.	The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of Filter Without Fixtures	42
Figure 25.	The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of De-embedded Parameters of Filter	43
Figure 26.	Comparison of the S11 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fixtures	44

Figure 27. Comparison of the S12 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fix- tures .....	45
Figure 28. Comparison of the S22 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fix- tures .....	46
Figure 29. Block Diagram of the TSD Calibration Procedure .....	48
Figure 30. Device Embedded Setup .....	49
Figure 31. Error Boxes of A and B with Delay .....	50
Figure 32. Short Situation of Two Error Boxes .....	51
Figure 33. Measurement of the Load 1 (Fixture A) .....	57
Figure 34. Measurement of the Load 2 (Fixture A) .....	58
Figure 35. Measurement of the Load 3 (Fixture A) .....	59
Figure 36. Measurement of the Load 1 (Fixture B) .....	60
Figure 37. Measurement of the Load 2 (Fixture B) .....	61
Figure 38. Measurement of the Load 3 (Fixture B) .....	62

# I. INTRODUCTION

## A. BACKGROUND

Microwave circuit elements, such as semiconductor devices, cannot be connected directly to the terminals of a microwave network analyzer for measurement of scattering or impedance coefficients but must be coupled through a chain of hardware elements comprising connector-adapters, microstrip sections, and bondwire connections. The basic problem under consideration is the removal of the effects of intervening hardware from measurements made at the external terminals of an embedded device or circuit component to obtain the intrinsic device characteristics. Accurate measurement data on a device is essential for successful circuit design.

Earlier work on device de-embedding has been coupled with undesirable restrictions such as the assumption of physical symmetry of hardware, inadequate provision for realistic measurement standards, or neglect of statistical treatment of data for improvement of accuracy. Consequently, a need exists for a practical treatment of this measurement problem which can be implemented in typical laboratory circumstances, with acceptable accuracy of results.

In order to measure the scattering parameters of a device, the device is often mounted in a fixture. This fixture has a set of scattering parameters associated with it so that the parameters measured at the terminals of the fixture are the scattering parameters of the device transformed by the embedding network of the fixture.

Thus, to obtain the scattering parameters of the device itself, the device must be "de-embedded" from the fixture. Techniques for using a network analyzer (N.A.) to de-embed the device from the test fixture have been discussed earlier [Refs. 1,2,10,11].

As long as the device interface connection is coaxial line or waveguide, de-embedding is relatively straightforward because standards are available. But if the device is constructed without a coaxial or waveguide interface, as in the case of microstrip or coplanar line systems, de-embedding becomes more difficult.

Extracting the device parameters from the overall measured parameters is strongly dependent on the ability to characterize the fixturing. Figure 1 is the block diagram and signal flow graph of a typical N.A.- fixturing setup. The problem is to find the best characterization networks A and B so as to remove their contributions, yielding the device parameters, S.




Figure 1. Block Diagram and Signal Flow Graph of a Typical Fixturing Set-up



## **B. SCOPE**

The scope of the proposed research will be to develop a working procedure for carrying out the removal of embedded device scattering matrices from network analyzer data. The procedure developed will be supported by experimental measurements on typical microwave circuits fabricated on planar substrates.

## II. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FIXTURES

### A. CHARACTERIZATION CHOICES

Several papers present characterization techniques that are in use at various laboratories. There are four main choices of N.A.-fixturing characterization as shown Fig. 2 [Ref. 1].




Figure 2. The Four Main Choices of N.A.-Fixturing Characterization.

Method (1) combines a variety of measurements, including the transmission between fixtures connected back-to-back and reflection measurements on the fixtures terminated in microstrip stubs. In this way, data is assembled to enable the scattering coefficients of the embedded device to be converted to the device scattering matrix. Method (1) therefore contains features of both methods (3) and (4) below, and represents also an early example of the use of microstrip stubs as known reference standards.

In method (2), the measured S-parameters are fit to an assumed circuit model to calculate the device parameters.

Method (3) has the advantage that only three simple test pieces in the device medium are required. More details are given in Chapter V, part B.

From the above choices, method (4) was selected for investigation. In this method, three or more known reflection coefficients are measured at each port. The reference load reflection coefficients are derived from independently measured or calculated non-zero values. Reference 2 used a chip capacitor, wire bonded to microstrip on alumina, with capacitance measured at low frequency (LF). The overall reflection coefficient was then measured versus frequency. To obtain multiple calibration measurements, a piece was scribed off the chip, and the measurement cycle repeated twice more at each port.

In other cases, the reference load was a high-Q varactor chip, again measured as a function of three values of reverse bias at LF. The chip was then wire-bonded to the microstrip conductor and the overall reflection coefficients of the fixture-chip combination versus frequency were measured at each value of reverse bias.

In the present work, the reference load is a section of the microstrip line itself. Three different lengths of microstrip line yield the three different reflection coefficients for each port by separate measurements.

## B. THE TEST FIXTURE

The S-parameters of the test fixture can be determined by measuring a set of known impedances in place of the embedded device. This process is known as "unterminating." Once the fixture's S-parameters have been determined, the device is measured in the calibrated test fixture, and the device parameters are "de-embedded" from the data to give an accurate picture of device performance.

The signal flow diagram and block diagram in Fig. 3 define the input and output characteristics of the test fixture.

Three sets of S-parameters must be determined when an unknown device is characterized as a two-port network mounted in a test fixture. First, the S-parameters of the input (A) and the output (B) sides of the fixture must be evaluated before the S-parameters of the device itself can be deduced. If the test fixtures are known to be symmetrical, then only one measurement is needed to determine the S-parameters of the two sides of the fixture.

In the present case, however, unsymmetrical fixtures are chosen, requiring six different measurements and calculations for both fixtures independently. To solve this problem, the input half of the fixture is first terminated at the device plane with an arbitrary load of reflection coefficient,  $\Gamma_L$ , followed by other loads with different reflection




Figure 3. The Flow Diagram and Block Diagram of the Fixture.

coefficients. Then the output half of the fixture must also be considered with same method.




Figure 4. One Port De-Embedment

For the one port case, as shown above, the reflection coefficient is the data needed since the impedance can be calculated from it:

$$Z_L = \frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_L} Z_0 \quad (2.1)$$

From the definition of the scattering matrix, the input reflection coefficient is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{in} &= \frac{b_1}{a_1} \\ &= \frac{a_1 S_{11} + a_2 S_{12}}{a_1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where, for load  $\Gamma_L$ ,

$$a_2 = \Gamma_L b_2 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= S_{21} a_1 + S_{22} a_2 \\ &= S_{21} a_1 + S_{22} (\Gamma_L b_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Thus,

$$b_2 = S_{21} \frac{a_1}{1 - S_{22}\Gamma_L} \quad (2.4a)$$

Using the above, we get:

$$\Gamma_{11} = S_{11} + \frac{S_{12}S_{21}\Gamma_L}{(1 - S_{22}\Gamma_L)} \quad (2.5)$$

Here,  $S_{11}$  can be found by measuring a matched load in the fixture ( $\Gamma_L = 0$ ). If we assume that this measurement gives  $\Gamma_{in} = \Gamma_{ML}$ , then we have  $S_{11} = \Gamma_{ML}$ . Using the result in Eq. (2.5),

$$(\Gamma_{in} - \Gamma_{ML})(1 - S_{22}\Gamma_L) = S_{12}S_{21}\Gamma_L \quad (2.6)$$

From this,

$$S_{12}S_{21}\Gamma_L + S_{22}\Gamma_L(\Gamma_{in} - \Gamma_{ML}) = (\Gamma_{in} - \Gamma_{ML}) \quad (2.7)$$

Two more measurements with known loads will provide enough data to determine  $S_{22}$  and  $(S_{12}S_{21})$ . Suppose we measure with an open-circuited load ( $\Gamma_L = +1$ ), and a short-circuited load ( $\Gamma_L = -1$ ). Then,

$$S_{12}S_{21} + S_{22}(\Gamma_{OC} - \Gamma_{ML}) = \Gamma_{OC} - \Gamma_{ML} \quad (\text{for } \Gamma_L = +1) \quad (2.8)$$

$$-S_{12}S_{21} - S_{22}(\Gamma_{SC} - \Gamma_{ML}) = \Gamma_{SC} - \Gamma_{ML} \quad (\text{for } \Gamma_L = -1) \quad (2.9)$$

These can be solved for

$$S_{22} = \frac{\Gamma_{OC} + \Gamma_{SC} - 2\Gamma_{ML}}{\Gamma_{OC} - \Gamma_{SC}} \quad (2.10)$$

$$S_{12}S_{21} = 2 \frac{(\Gamma_{OC} - \Gamma_{ML})(\Gamma_{ML} - \Gamma_{SC})}{\Gamma_{OC} - \Gamma_{SC}} \quad (2.11)$$

With these two quantities known, the equation for de-embedding a general unknown can be written from Eq. (2.7)

$$\Gamma_L = \frac{(\Gamma_{in} - \Gamma_{ML})}{S_{12}S_{21} + S_{22}(\Gamma_{in} - \Gamma_{ML})} \quad (2.12)$$

But in the actual case, it is very difficult to construct the matched load and ideal shorted and open terminations required by this procedure. Therefore, we rearrange Eq. (2.12) to matrix form for three different known loads and their reflection coefficients. From Eq. (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma_{in}}{\Gamma_L} &= \frac{S_{11}}{\Gamma_L} + \frac{S_{12}S_{21}}{1 - S_{22}\Gamma_L} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma_L} S_{11} - S_{11}S_{22} + S_{12}S_{21}}{1 - S_{22}\Gamma_L}\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

Rearranging this gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma_{in}}{\Gamma_L} &= \frac{S_{11}}{\Gamma_L} + \Gamma_{in}S_{22} - (S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21}) \\ &= \frac{S_{11}}{\Gamma_L} + \Gamma_{in}S_{22} - \Delta\end{aligned}\quad (2.14)$$

where,

$$\Delta \equiv S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21}. \quad (2.15)$$

This equation then involves the three unknowns  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{22}$ , and  $\Delta$ . These three unknowns can be determined by making three independent measurements of  $\Gamma_{in}$  with three different known loads. In matrix form, the problem can be expressed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L1}} & \Gamma_{in1} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L2}} & \Gamma_{in2} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L3}} & \Gamma_{in3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma_{in1}}{\Gamma_{L1}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in2}}{\Gamma_{L2}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in3}}{\Gamma_{L3}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

To find  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{22}$  and  $\Delta$ , solve:

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{11} \\ S_{22} \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L1}} & \Gamma_{in1} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L2}} & \Gamma_{in2} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L3}} & \Gamma_{in3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma_{in1}}{\Gamma_{L1}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in2}}{\Gamma_{L2}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in3}}{\Gamma_{L3}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

$\Gamma_{L1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L2}$  and  $\Gamma_{L3}$  are three different calculated reflection coefficients at the terminals of the three different loads. Also,  $\Gamma_{in1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{in2}$  and  $\Gamma_{in3}$  are three reflection coefficients measured from the N.A. with respect to the three different loads. Now the problem requires accurate values of  $\Gamma_{L1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L2}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L3}$  and  $\Gamma_{in1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{in2}$ ,  $\Gamma_{in3}$ . We consider in the next chapter the computation of  $\Gamma_{L1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L2}$  and  $\Gamma_{L3}$  for reference microstrip line loads.



### III. MICROSTRIP LINE FOR THE FIXTURE

#### A. MICROSTRIP LINE

The definition of an effective relative dielectric constant,  $\epsilon_{re}$ , allows us to treat microstrip line like a TEM system. Relations between line and freespace parameters then hold (which are typical of TEM systems). With  $\epsilon_{re}$  replacing the conventional relative dielectric constant,

$$\frac{\lambda_{ms}}{\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\frac{v_{ms}}{c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \quad (3.1b)$$

$$\frac{Z_0}{Z_{0air}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \quad (3.1c)$$

$$\frac{1}{v_{ms}C_{ms}} = v_{ms} L_{ms} \quad (3.1d)$$

where  $\lambda_{ms}$  and  $v_{ms}$  are the wavelength and velocity of the waves on the microstrip line and  $\lambda_0$  and  $c$  are the free space values at the frequency of operation.

In Eqs. (3.1),  $L_{ms}$  and  $C_{ms}$  are the inductance and capacitance per unit length of the microstrip line.  $Z_0$  is its characteristic impedance, and  $Z_{0air}$  is the value  $Z_0$  would have if the dielectric layer were replaced by air. To the lowest order of approximation, the value of  $\epsilon_{re}$  would be the average of the relative dielectric constant of the substrate and that of air, namely:

$$\epsilon_{re} = \frac{1 + \epsilon_r}{2} \quad (3.2)$$

More exact values have been obtained, largely through solutions of the quasistatic model, corrected to match measured data.




Figure 5. Microstrip Line Dimensions.

In case of lines with line width ratio  $u \equiv \frac{w}{h} = 0.1$  to 10,

$$\epsilon_{re}(0) = \frac{\epsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_r - 1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{12.35}{u}}} + 0.06(1 - u)^2 e^{-0.44u\epsilon_r} \right] \quad (3.3)$$

If microstrip were a true TEM system, the velocity of waves on the line, the impedance  $Z_0$  and other line parameters would be independent of frequency. The quasistatic or zero frequency values of the parameters are good approximations at frequencies up to the order of a few gigahertz. When the wavelength becomes comparable with the cross sectional dimensions of the line,  $v_m$ , and the line parameters are no longer frequency independent. Therefore, dispersion of effective relative dielectric constant is

$$\epsilon_{re}(f) = \epsilon_r - \left[ \frac{\epsilon_r - \epsilon_{re}(0)}{1 + \frac{\epsilon_{re}(0)}{\epsilon_r} \left( \frac{2h\mu_0 f}{Z_0} \right)^2} \right] \quad (3.3a)$$

where  $h$  is the substrate height,  $f$  is frequency and  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of free space.

## B. MICROSTRIP STUB-RESONANCE EVALUATION OF DIELECTRIC CONSTANT

It was necessary to determine the substrate dielectric constant  $\epsilon_r$  of the microstrip line substrates used for measurements in the present work.

The steps are:

1. Measure the resonant frequency of a quarter wave length stub. (Substrate height is  $h = 1.5$  mm, line width ratio  $u = 3.0$  (where  $u = w / h$ )),




Figure 6. Measurement Setup for Dielectric Constant Measurement

2. Measure the value of  $S_{21}$ , transmission coefficient, with respect to frequency using the N.A.,
3. Find the frequency ( $f_0$ ) at which maximum dB transmission loss due to resonance occurs, as shown in Fig. 7,




Figure 7. Determination of the Max. dB Loss Point.

4. Calculate  $\epsilon_{re}$  from Eq. (3.1a). It is normally required to account for the fringing capacitance at an open microstrip termination. Computation by standard methods [Ref. 3: p. 138] showed that the open-end length correction was less than 1.0% for stub lengths greater than 50 mm. This had negligible effect on the calculated  $\epsilon_{re}$ , and was neglected.

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_{ms} \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ms} &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \\ &= f_0 \lambda_{ms} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

therefore,

$$\lambda_{ms} = \frac{c}{f_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\lambda_{ms} = 4l.$$

From the equations above,

$$\epsilon_{re} = \left( \frac{c}{4lf_0} \right)^2 \quad (3.7)$$

Through the several measurements as shown in Table 1, the average  $\epsilon_{re}$  obtained by calculation has the value of 2.833.,

**Table 1. THE MEASUREMENT OF EFFECTIVE RELATIVE DIELECTRIC CONSTANT**

Length (mm)	$f_0$ (GHz)	$\epsilon_{re}$
98.0	0.452	2.867
80.0	0.564	2.763
60.0	0.746	2.808
40.0	1.102	2.895

5. From stub resonance data, the corresponding  $\epsilon_{re}$  is calculated. Eq. (3.3) was inverted by trial and error to find  $\epsilon_r = 3.516$  from the experimental  $\epsilon_{re}$ .

### C. CHARACTERISTIC IMPEDANCE OF MICROSTRIP LINE

Expressions for the characteristic impedance of microstrip lines have been developed through numerous successive approximations, based principally on TEM models having the specific microstrip line cross section, with correction terms added to obtain agreement with experimental observation.

$$Z_0 = \frac{60}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}} \ln \left[ \frac{(0.99u + 1.795)(0.994u + 5.80)}{u(u + 1.268)} \right] \quad (3.8)$$

where the synthesis formula for linewidth ratio  $u = w/h$  is:

$$u = \frac{w}{h} = \frac{8}{A} \sqrt{A(0.647 + \frac{0.4116}{\epsilon_r}) + 1.321(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_r})} \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$A \equiv \left[ \exp(Z_0 \frac{\sqrt{2(\epsilon_r + 1)}}{60}) - 1 \right] \quad (3.10)$$

From Eqs. 3.3, 3.6 and 3.8, one can easily get the characteristic impedance for each microstrip section. For example, for the given characteristic impedance of  $50 \Omega$ , the microstrip line width ratio is  $u = 2.283$  and the width is  $3.43 \text{ mm}$ . In the construction of microstrip stub loads, it is necessary to choose high characteristic impedance for accurate measurement, since high impedance leads to narrow linewidth and a well-defined point of attachment of the stub. Construction problems arise in making microstrip line with very small width. For example, if we want to make a microstrip line stub of  $90 \Omega$  characteristic impedance, the required width is about  $1.2 \text{ mm}$  for given  $h = 1.5 \text{ mm}$ . It is difficult to handle the adhesive foil material used for the microstrip itself. For this reason, a compromise value was chosen, using  $2.0 \text{ mm}$  width of microstrip stub for given substrate height  $h = 1.5 \text{ mm}$ . Then,

$$h = 1.5 \text{ mm}$$

$$w = 2.0 \text{ mm}$$

$$\text{also } u = \frac{w}{h} = 1.33.$$

Therefore,

$$Z_{0s} = 68.2 \Omega,$$

which was chosen as a working value for stub impedance.




Figure 8. Experimental Setup for Characterization of the Fixture

#### D. STUB LOAD IMPEDANCE AND REFLECTION COEFFICIENT

Consider the transmission-line impedance-transformation law:

$$Z_{in} = Z_{0s} \left[ \frac{Z_L \cos \beta l + jZ_{0s} \sin \beta l}{Z_{0s} \cos \beta l + Z_L \sin \beta l} \right] \quad (3.11)$$

From Eq. (3.11), when  $Z_L$  goes to  $\infty$  (open ended), the input impedance of an open-ended stub becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{in} &= Z_{0s} \left[ \frac{\cos \beta l}{j \sin \beta l} \right] \\
&= -j Z_{0s} \cot(\beta l)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}$$

using

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}
\tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \frac{v}{f} \\
&= \frac{c}{f \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_L &= -j Z_{0s} \cot \left[ \frac{2\pi f l \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}}{c} \right] \\
&= -j Z_{0s} \frac{\cos \left[ \frac{2\pi f l \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}}{c} \right]}{\sin \left[ \frac{2\pi f l \sqrt{\epsilon_{re}}}{c} \right]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}$$

Once the  $Z_{0s}$  is chosen, we can determine the load impedance of three different loads. For example, given  $Z_{0s} = 68.2$  ohms and microstrip line lengths of 4 cm, 3 cm, 2 cm, the load impedances are tabulated as shown Table 2.

**Table 2. THE LOAD IMPEDANCE OF REFERENCE STUB LOADS**

Frequency(GHz)	L = 4 cm ( $Z_{L1}$ )	L = 3 cm ( $Z_{L2}$ )	L = 2 cm ( $Z_{L3}$ )
1.00	-j 11.06	-j 38.44	-j 80.15
2.00	j 204.8	j 41.28	-j 11.06
3.00	-j 35.69	-j 1230	j 41.28
4.00	j 91.05	-j 35.69	j 204.81
5.00	-j 70.73	j 44.23	-j 168.99

The three different reflection coefficients of the given arbitrary loads are:

$$\Gamma_{Li} = \frac{Z_{Li} - Z_0}{Z_{Li} + Z_0} \quad (3.16)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore, from the given stub impedances and  $Z_0 = 50\Omega$ , the reflection coefficients are tabulated in Table 3.

**Table 3. THE STUB REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS**

Freq.(GHz)	L = 4 cm ( $\Gamma_{L1}$ )	L = 3 cm ( $\Gamma_{L2}$ )	L = 2 cm ( $\Gamma_{L3}$ )
1.00	-0.91 - j0.42	-0.26 - j0.97	0.44 - j0.90
2.00	0.89 + j0.46	-0.19 + j0.98	-0.91 - j0.42
3.00	-0.32 - j0.95	1.00 - j0.05	-0.19 + j0.98
4.00	0.54 + j0.84	-0.32 - j0.95	0.89 + j0.46
5.00	0.33 - j0.94	-0.12 + j0.99	0.84 - j0.54



## IV. APPLICATION OF THE DE-EMBEDDING PROCEDURE

### A. MEASUREMENT OF THE EMBEDDING FIXTURE

The reflection coefficients required are measured by the HP 8409B vector network-analyzer. One can find the reflection coefficient,  $\Gamma_m$ , of the stub terminated fixtures by measurement of the scattering matrix element  $S_{11}$  using the network-analyzer. The outputs of the N.A. represent the magnitude (dB loss) and phase angle (degrees) of  $S_{11}$ . Therefore, the outputs of the N.A. must be changed to complex notation using the simple modification:


$$\Gamma_{in} = (10)^{\frac{-S_{11dB}}{20}} \cos(\arg S_{11}) + j(10)^{\frac{-S_{11dB}}{20}} \sin(\arg S_{11}). \quad (4.1)$$

The outputs of the N.A. and  $\Gamma_m$  are obtained for each load on one fixture (A) as shown in Figs. 9-a to 9-c. When we consider the other fixture (B), all steps are exactly same as for fixture A except the fixture shape itself, shown in Figs. 10-a to 10-c. Tables for fixture A and fixture B data are available in Appendix A.


### B. S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE

To consider the de-embedding calculation, we return to the original matrix equation. The success of this characterization technique depends heavily on the measurement of the load impedances used in the unterminating process for the fixtures. Once an input reflection coefficient has been measured with three different impedance standards, the S-parameters of the test fixture can be computed using the matrix introduced earlier. A word of caution must be offered about the convergence of this apparently simple problem.

The matrix that must be inverted consists of elements very near unity, a situation often used to illustrate some of the numerical inversion methods. In this case, the Gauss-Jordan method with full pivoting is recommended. Pivoting improves the stability of the numerical solution by interchanging rows and columns in the Gaussian elimination. In the notation of Eq. (2.17), the scattering parameter  $S_{ij}$  is changed to  $A_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  for fixture A and B (respectively):



**Figure 9. Measurement of the Load for Fixture A**



**Figure 10. Measurement of the Load for Fixture B**

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L1}} & \Gamma_{in1} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L2}} & \Gamma_{in2} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma_{L3}} & \Gamma_{in3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma_{in1}}{\Gamma_{L1}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in2}}{\Gamma_{L2}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_{in3}}{\Gamma_{L3}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\Delta \equiv A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}A_{21}. \quad (4.3)$$

The computed values for  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$ , combined with a knowledge of  $\Delta$ , can be used to determine the  $A_{12}A_{21}$  product. Note that the fixture is reciprocal, so  $A_{12} = A_{21}$  and for the other fixture,  $B_{12} = B_{21}$ . Thus, the magnitudes of these terms can be calculated by taking the square root of the product term in the expression for  $\Delta$ .

The question of phase is left open, but is not essential. Since the  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ ,  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{21}$  terms always appear in product form, it does not matter which of the two possible phase angles one chooses, as long as the phase angles for  $A_{21}$  and  $B_{21}$  are approximately the same. All of these calculations are shown in Appendix E using the Fortran program shown. This program combines the measurement and calculated values, that is, the outputs of the N.A. are used in the calculation as a form of datafile. The resulting S-parameters of these fixtures are shown in Appendix B.

## C. DE-EMBEDDING PROCEDURE

### 1. Background

When a two-port network is connected between fixture A and B, the signal flow graph for the system may be drawn as shown in Fig. 11. Parameters AB and BA are mainly contributed by the finite isolation of the internal switches of the network analyzer. The terms  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{21}$ ,  $S_{12}$ , and  $S_{22}$  are the scattering parameters of the device under test. The fixture parameters,  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{21}$ ,  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{22}$ , can be determined by reflection measurements at port 1, as discussed earlier. Parameters  $B_{22}$ ,  $B_{11}$  and  $B_{12}B_{21}$  can be evaluated by similar measurements at port 2. Two port transmission measurements with the device under test replaced by a through-line are used for evaluating parameters AB and BA, and products  $A_{21}B_{21}$  and  $A_{12}B_{12}$ . When a through-line of length  $l$ , having zero reflections at both ends and a transmission  $T = e^{-j\beta l}$ , is connected between the two ports, the signal-flow graph of Fig. 11 can be simplified to the one shown in Fig. 12.




Figure 11. Signal Flow Graph for Measurements on a Two - Port Network

The measured transmission  $T_m$  can be expressed as

$$T_m = BA + \frac{A_{21}B_{21}T}{1 - A_{22}B_{11}T^2} \quad (4.4)$$

When the error parameters  $A_{22}$  and  $B_{11}$  have been determined by the reflection measurements, two transmission measurements must be performed for the determination of  $BA$  and  $A_{21}B_{21}$ . The value of  $BA$  can be found by making a transmission measurement when no connection exists between the ports (that is  $T = 0$ ). The parameter  $A_{21}B_{21}$  can be determined from the measurement of a through - line using

$$A_{21}B_{21} = \frac{(T_m - BA)(1 - A_{22}B_{11}e^{-j2\beta l})}{e^{-j\beta l}} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $T = e^{-j\beta l}$ ,  $j\beta$  being the propagation constant of the through-line. The error parameters  $AB$  and  $A_{12}B_{12}$  can be determined by making a similar measurement.

Now that the scattering characteristics of the embedding networks have been measured, the scattering parameters  $M_{11}$ ,  $M_{22}$ ,  $M_{12}$  and  $M_{21}$  can be corrected for the em-




Figure 12. Signal Flow Graph with a Through - Line

bedding networks to obtain  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{22}$ ,  $S_{12}$  and  $S_{21}$ . Elements  $M_{ij}$  represent the scattering parameters of both fixtures and device, namely:

$$M_{11} = A_{11} + \frac{S_{11}A_{12}A_{21}(1 - S_{22}B_{11}) + (S_{21}S_{12}B_{11}A_{12}A_{21})}{D} \quad (4.6)$$

$$M_{21} = BA + \frac{S_{21}B_{21}A_{21}}{D} \quad (4.7)$$

$$M_{22} = B_{22} + \frac{S_{22}B_{21}B_{12}(1 - S_{11}A_{22}) + (S_{21}S_{12}A_{22}B_{12}B_{21})}{D} \quad (4.8)$$

$$M_{12} = AB + \frac{S_{12}B_{12}A_{12}}{D} \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$D = 1 - S_{11}A_{22} - S_{22}B_{11} - S_{12}S_{21}A_{22}B_{11} + S_{22}S_{11}A_{22}B_{11} \quad (4.10)$$

These equations must be inverted to extract the scattering parameters  $S_{ij}$ . An explicit solution for the scattering parameters of the device under test has been presented in Ref. [4] : p. 313 . The solution is as follows:

$$S_{11} = \frac{G(1 + B_{11}H) - B_{11}EF}{N} \quad (4.11)$$

$$S_{12} = \frac{E}{N} \quad (4.12)$$

$$S_{21} = \frac{F}{N} \quad (4.13)$$

$$S_{22} = \frac{H(1 + A_{22}G) - A_{22}EF}{N} \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$N = (1 + A_{22}G)(1 + B_{11}H) - A_{22}B_{11}EF \quad (4.15)$$

$$E1 = \frac{(M_{12} - AB)}{(A_{12}B_{12})} \quad (4.16)$$

$$F1 = \frac{(M_{21} - BA)}{(A_{21}B_{21})} \quad (4.17)$$

$$G = \frac{(M_{11} - A_{11})}{(A_{12}A_{21})} \quad (4.18)$$

$$H = \frac{(M_{22} - B_{22})}{(B_{12}B_{21})} \quad (4.19)$$

In the present measurement, inclusion of the leakage paths BA and AB is not necessary because of the one-port calibration procedure used. Therefore, Eqs. (4.16, 4.17) are:

$$E = \frac{M_{12}}{A_{12}B_{12}} \quad (4.16a)$$

$$F = \frac{M_{21}}{A_{21}B_{21}} \quad (4.17a)$$

Some variations of the above calibration procedure are available in Ref. [4] : p. 315 .

The de-embedding procedure used in this work is based on Eqs. (4.11 to 4.15) and Eqs. (4.16a, 4.17a, 4.18 and 4.19) which use the 9 key parameters:

$$S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{21}, S_{22}, E, F, G, H, N$$

and the foregoing derivations. The complete block diagram is shown in Fig. 14. If we know  $A_y$  and  $B_y$ , the scattering parameters of fixtures A and B, and the parameters of both fixtures plus device,  $M_y$ , then the scattering parameters of the device itself are obtained by using Eqs. 4.11 to 4.14. In order to test the de-embedding procedure, consider the set up of Fig. 13.




Figure 13. Device and Fixture Assembly for the 51 Ohm Resistor

It is necessary to choose a device that has known scattering parameters; then it will be possible to compare the output of the de-embedding experiment with the theoretical parameters of device itself. The initial test object is a series-connected 51 Ohm resistor which has a small inductance so that the impedance of the 51 Ohm device is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= R + j\omega L \\ &\approx 51 + j2\pi fL \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where we may assume the parasitic inductance associated with the resistor to be






Figure 14. The Block Diagram of the De-Embedding Procedure

$$L = 2.5 \text{ nH.}$$

The scattering matrix of an impedance  $Z$  connected in series with the transmission line is :

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z}{2+z} & \frac{2}{2+z} \\ \frac{2}{2+z} & \frac{z}{2+z} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$z = \frac{Z}{Z_0},$$

and  $Z_0$  is the characteristic impedance (50 Ohm) of the connected line. In this case, the TOUCHSTONE CAD program is useful in calculating the scattering parameters.

These theoretical outputs are shown in Appendix C. Also the magnitude plots of the calculated scattering parameters of this device are shown in Fig. 15.




Figure 15. Theoretical Scattering Parameters of 51 Ohm Resistor

## 2. Device Embedment

The S-parameters of both fixtures plus device are measured by the network analyzer at planes AA' and BB' as shown in Fig. 16. Typical measured magnitude data is shown in Fig. 17 with data tables in Appendix C.




Figure 16. Signal Flow graph for the Measurement of the (Fixture + Device) S-parameters




Figure 17. The Magnitude Plot of Both Microstrip Fixture and 51 Ohm Resistor

### 3. De-embedding the Device

Now we are ready for calculation of the S-parameters of the device. The S-parameters of fixture A, fixture B and both fixtures plus device constitute the necessary data. Once the microstrip circuit board is prepared, then the network analyzer provides the three different input reflection coefficients  $\Gamma_{in1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{in2}$  and  $\Gamma_{in3}$  with respect to three different calibration loads. Also computations are carried out to find the load reflection coefficients of these three different loads,  $\Gamma_{L1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L2}$  and  $\Gamma_{L3}$ . After following the same procedure for both fixture A and fixture B, we can get the respective S-parameters. From the matrix equation (Eq. 2.17), the three unknowns  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{22}$  and  $\Delta$  are solved. For de-embedding the device itself, we need the additional S-parameters for the device plus fixture. These three sets of S-parameters are utilized to solve the de-embedding problem.

Equations 4.11 to 4.14 are now ready for use. Through these equations, the de-embedded outputs are obtained, as shown in Fig. 18 (the table of de-embedded S-parameters is shown in Appendix C). In addition to the plot of the de-embedded magnitudes, the comparison of the scattering parameters  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$  and  $S_{22}$  of the de-embedded output with the parameters of the embedded resistor and the theoretical values are also available in Fig. 19 to Fig. 21 (data tables in Appendix C).




Figure 18. Magnitude Plot of the De-embedded Parameters of 51 Ohm Resistor




Figure 19. Comparison of the S11 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values






Figure 20. Comparison of the S12 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values




Figure 21. Comparison of the S22 of the 51 Ohm Resistor with the Theoretical Values

## V. FURTHER DE - EMBEDDING METHODS

### A. MEASUREMENT OF DEVICE PARAMETERS USING A SYMMETRIC FIXTURE.

#### 1. Background

As discussed earlier, if the device is constructed without a coaxial or waveguide interface, de-embedding becomes more difficult. In some cases, it is possible to proceed using an auxiliary standard whose properties are calculated or measured at low frequencies, but, in other cases, no suitable auxiliary standard is available. In either case, if the fixture is symmetric, a de-embedding procedure that does not require the use of an auxiliary standard can be developed [Ref. 5].

The concept for symmetric de-embedding is illustrated in Fig. 22. Fig. 22-a shows a device inserted in a symmetric test fixture. We assume that the device has complementary interfaces on the input and output sides so that when the device is removed from the fixture, the two halves of the fixture can be connected, as shown in Fig. 22-b. The scattering parameters of each half of the test fixture can be extracted from the scattering parameters of the whole test fixture connected, as illustrated in Fig. 22-b. Then the device is inserted into the test fixture, as illustrated in Fig. 22-a, and the scattering parameters are again measured.

The method for implementing the concept outlined above is as follows. If we have a fixture whose T-parameters in a 50 ohm system are  $[TF]$ , then if  $[HTF]$  represents the square root of  $[TF]$ ,

$$[HTF][HTF] = [TF]. \quad (5.1)$$

Now suppose we want to measure the T-parameters of a device in a 50 ohm system  $[TS]$ . If we separate the fixture into two symmetric parts and inserted the device to be measured, then for the combination we would measure a set of T-parameters  $[TFS]$  given by:

$$[TFS] = [HTF][TS][HTF]. \quad (5.2)$$

To find  $[TS]$ , we would simply invert above equation to get

$$[TS] = [HTF]^{-1}[TFS][HTF]^{-1}. \quad (5.3)$$




Figure 22. Device Embedded Between Symmetric Fixture and Test Fixture

This procedure de-embeds the device parameters  $[TS]$  from the measured parameters  $[TFS]$  and  $[TF]$ . The steps required to find  $[TS]$  in a symmetric fixture are as follows:

1. Measure the scattering parameters of both fixture plus device,  $[SFS]$ , using the network analyzer,

$$[SFS] = \begin{bmatrix} SFS_{11} & SFS_{12} \\ SFS_{21} & SFS_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.4)$$

then transform to the T-parameters:

$$[TFS] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-M_1}{SFS_{21}} & \frac{SFS_{11}}{SFS_{21}} \\ \frac{-SFS_{22}}{SFS_{21}} & \frac{1}{SFS_{21}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $M_1 = SFS_{11}SFS_{22} + SFS_{12}SFS_{21}$ .

2. Measure the scattering parameters of both fixtures  $[SF]$ . In practice, both fixtures are measured with the network analyzer individually:

$$[HSF] = [HSF1] = [HSF2] = \begin{bmatrix} HSF_{11} & HSF_{12} \\ HSF_{21} & HSF_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

Then, transforming to the T-parameters:

$$[HTF] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-M_2}{HSF_{21}} & \frac{HSF_{11}}{HSF_{21}} \\ \frac{-HSF_{22}}{HSF_{21}} & \frac{1}{HSF_{21}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

where  $M_2 = HSF_{11}HSF_{22} + HSF_{12}HSF_{21}$ .

3. Invert  $[HTF]$ :

$$[HTF]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{HTF_{22}}{M_3} & \frac{-HTF_{21}}{M_3} \\ \frac{-HTF_{12}}{M_3} & \frac{HTF_{11}}{M_3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.8)$$

where  $M_3 = HTF_{11}HTF_{22} - HTF_{21}HTF_{12}$ .

4. Find device T-parameters  $[TS]$ :

$$[TS] = \begin{bmatrix} HTF_{11} & HTF_{12} \\ HTF_{21} & HTF_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} TFS_{11} & TFS_{12} \\ TFS_{21} & TFS_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} HTF_{11} & HTF_{12} \\ HTF_{21} & HTF_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \quad (5.9)$$

5. Transform  $[TS]$  to S-parameters  $[SS]$ :

$$[SS] = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$S_{11} = \frac{TS_{12}}{TS_{22}} \quad (5.10)$$

$$S_{12} = TS_{11} - \frac{TS_{12}TS_{21}}{TS_{22}} \quad (5.11)$$

$$S_{21} = \frac{1}{TS_{22}} \quad (5.12)$$

$$S_{22} = -\frac{TS_{21}}{TS_{22}} \quad (5.13)$$

## 2. De-Embedding of a Filter Unit

As a test demonstration of the symmetrical de-embedment procedure, an 8436A bandpass filter (8 - 12 GHz) is used as the test device. All components of the network had type APC-7 test port connectors. The outputs [*SFS*] and [*HSF*] of the network analyzer are shown in Appendix D. To get the de-embedded outputs, the Fortran program shown in Appendix F is used. The magnitude plot of the filter embedded, the filter itself, and the de-embedded filter are shown in Figs. 23 to 25. Data Tables are shown in Appendix D. The comparison of the scattering parameters  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$  and  $S_{22}$  of the filter itself, and embedded filter are shown in Figs. 26 to 28. Tabulated data is shown in Appendix D.




Figure 23. The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of Both Fixture and Filter




Figure 24. The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of Filter Without Fixtures






Figure 25. The S-parameter Magnitude Plot of De-embedded Parameters of Filter




Figure 26. Comparison of the S11 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fixtures




Figure 27. Comparison of the S12 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fixtures




Figure 28. Comparison of the S22 of De-embedded Filter with Filter Without Fixtures

## B. TSD (THROUGH, SHORT, DELAY) METHOD

This procedure, developed by R. Speciale [Refs. 6, 7 ], is intended for system calibration and systematic error removal in automated S-parameter measurement. This procedure uses only three non-critical reference standards, called the "Through", the "Short" and the "Delay", and leads to a set of equations for which a fast, non-iterative, explicit solution is known [Ref. 6].

### 1. The Basic Concept

This procedure uses only three mechanically simple reference standards, known respectively as the "Through", the "Short", and the "Delay".

The "Through" is defined as the direct mutual connection of fixtures A and B, as shown in Fig. 29, when the uncalibrated S-parameter data for the device are acquired. The S-parameter data for "Through" are therefore acquired with the measurement lines to the device directly interconnected and the device removed from the signal path.

The "Short" is defined as a reference object presenting perfect shorts at the immediate interfaces where the unknown device is connected for measurement.

Finally, the "Delay" is defined as any arbitrary length of 50 ohm line inserted between the interfaces where the unknown device is connected for measurement. Summarizing, for the "Through" and the "Delay", the signal path is continuous between ports 1 and 2 of the S-parameter unit, through the couplers used for connecting the object. A direct connection represents the "Through" and a finite arbitrary length line for the "Delay", in place of the unknown object. On the contrary the signal path is blocked for the "Short" by perfect shorts appearing at the interfaces where the unknown device is normally connected.

Three S-parameter matrices  $[S_T]$ ,  $[S_S]$  and  $[S_D]$  are acquired at each desired frequency during system calibration. These matrices describe the "Through", the "Short" and the "Delay" respectively. Because of the device imperfections, these matrices do not represent theoretically perfect "Through", "Short" and "Delay" elements, which are assumed to exist in the actual measurement.

Now consider the error boxes A and B. Error box A is assumed to be connected on the side of port 1, while error box B is assumed to be on the side of port 2, as shown in Fig. 29.




Figure 29. Block Diagram of the TSD Calibration Procedure

From Fig. 29, the error boxes A and B are always assumed to be inserted at the two ends of the measurement 50 ohm lines connecting the device to ports 1 and 2 of the S-parameter unit. An algorithm has been derived which performs an explicit, non-iterative calculation of the S-parameter matrices  $[S_A]$  and  $[S_B]$ , which characterize the virtual error boxes A and B.

## 2. The Equations and their Explicit solution

As discussed earlier, the T-matrices can be multiplied simply with cascaded elements. Therefore, consider Fig. 30, where the T-matrix for the connection shown is:

$$[T_{ADB}] = [T_A] [T_D] [T_B]. \quad (5.14)$$

From the above, we get:

$$[T_D] = [T_A]^{-1} [T_{ADB}] [T_B]^{-1} \quad (5.15)$$




Figure 30. Device Embedded Setup

where  $[T_D]$  is the corrected T-matrix and  $[T_{ADB}]$  is the uncalibrated T-matrix for the device.

The T-parameters of fixtures plus device,  $[T_{ADB}]$ , are given by the network analyzer. But the problem is to obtain the T-parameters of each fixture,  $[T_A]$  and  $[T_B]$ . To do this, we consider the error boxes A and B as shown in Fig. 31.

From a formal point of view, both the "Through" and the "Delay" can be considered as different, finite lengths of 50 ohm lines, cascaded between the error boxes A and B. With  $[T_{D1}]$  and  $[T_{D2}]$ , the T-matrix derived from the S-parameter data obtained in these two calibrations, and  $[T_A]$  and  $[T_B]$ , the unknown T-parameters of the error boxes A and B, we can write:

$$[T_{D1}] = [T_A][T_{L1}][T_B], \quad (5.16)$$

$$[T_{D2}] = [T_A][T_{L2}][T_B]. \quad (5.17)$$

For the 50 ohm lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  we have:

$$[T_{L1}] = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\rho_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\rho_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.18)$$

where  $\rho_1 = r_1 + j\theta_1$ .




Figure 31. Error Boxes of A and B with Delay

$$[T_{L2}] = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\rho_2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\rho_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.19)$$

where  $\rho_2 = r_2 + j\theta_2$ .

Equations (5.16) and (5.17) together with the two equations for the "Short" characterize boxes A and B. Also, consider the situation for the "Short", as shown in Fig. 32, we have

$$S_{11S} = \frac{b_{1A}}{a_{1A}} = \frac{S_{11A} + \det S_A}{1 + S_{22A}} \quad (5.20)$$

$$S_{11S} = \frac{b_{2B}}{a_{2B}} = \frac{S_{22B} + \det S_B}{1 + S_{11B}} \quad (5.21)$$

where  $S_{11S}$  and  $S_{22S}$  are  $S_{11}$  and  $S_{22}$  of the "Short", and  $S_{11A}$ ,  $S_{11B}$  are  $S_{ii}$  of box A and box B (det means matrix determinant), where  $i = 1, 2$ .

From Eqs. (5.16) and (5.17), we get:

$$S_{11A} = \frac{T_{12A}}{T_{22A}} = \frac{H_3}{H_1} \quad (5.22)$$






Figure 32. Short Situation of Two Error Boxes

$$\det S_A = S_{22A} \frac{H_1}{H_4} \quad (5.22a)$$

$$S_{22B} = \frac{-T_{21B}}{T_{22B}} = \frac{-K_4}{K_1} \quad (5.23)$$

$$\det S_B = S_{11B} \frac{-K_1}{K_3} \quad (5.23a)$$

Now substitute Eqs. (5.22) and (5.22a) into (5.20) to obtain:

$$S_{22A} = \frac{\frac{H_3}{H_1} - S_{11S}}{S_{11S} - \frac{H_1}{H_4}} \quad (5.24)$$

and also,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{12A}S_{21A} &= S_{11A}S_{22A} - \det S_A \\ &= \left[ S_{11A} - \frac{H_1}{H_4} \right] S_{22A} \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Substituting Eqs. (5.23) and (5.23a) into (5.21),

$$S_{11B} = \frac{\frac{K_4}{K_1} - S_{22S}}{S_{22S} + \frac{K_1}{K_3}} \quad (5.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{12B}S_{21B} &= S_{11B}S_{22B} - \det S_B \\ &= \left[ S_{22B} + \frac{K_1}{K_3} \right] S_{11B} \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{H_{22} - H_{11}}{2 \sinh \rho} \right] \quad (5.28)$$

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{K_{22} - K_{11}}{2 \sinh \rho} \right] \quad (5.29)$$

$$H_3 = \frac{H_{12}}{2 \sinh \rho} \quad (5.30)$$

$$K_3 = -\frac{K_{12}}{2 \sinh \rho} \quad (5.31)$$

$$H_4 = -\frac{H_{21}}{2 \sinh \rho} \quad (5.32)$$

$$K_4 = \frac{K_{21}}{2 \sinh \rho} \quad (5.33)$$

Here the quantities  $H_{ij}$  and  $K_{ij}$  are the elements of the matrices  $[H]$  and  $[K]$ , defined by

$$[H] = [T_{D1}] [T_{D2}]^{-1} \quad (5.34)$$

$$[K] = [T_{D2}]^{-1} [T_{D1}] \quad (5.35)$$

and  $\rho$  is defined by:

$$\rho = \cosh^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (H_{11} + H_{22}) \right] = \cosh^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K_{11} + K_{22}) \right] \quad (5.36)$$

Once the S-parameters of the error boxes A and B are known as given by Eqs. (5.22) through (5.27), use the general conversion formulas,

$$[T] = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{S_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} -\det S & S_{11} \\ S_{22} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.37)$$

where  $\det S = S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21}$ .

As discussed before, the following calculation to de-embed the device matrix,

$$[T_D] = [T_A]^{-1} [T_{ADB}] [T_B]^{-1},$$

can be carried out using Eqs. (5.22) through (5.27).

### 3. Discussion

This calibration procedure uses only three reference standards, which is the minimum necessary for characterizing the error boxes A and B. By using two delays of different length, for a total of 4 standards, a check of intrinsic consistency may be obtained. The standards used are simple enough to be inserted at the location of the object, even if this is an active device contained in some kind of measurement fixture. In this way, all system errors are removed, including those introduced by the fixture.

The position of the reference plane is immaterial, as long as it is kept constant during calibration and measurement. Also, the given solution of the relevant network equations is an exact, explicit solution. Finally, the procedure is essentially independent of the specific system configuration.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Various sources have been cited for the extraction of the scattering parameters of a device by removal of the error and contributions of the fixturing setup [Refs. 8,9,10,11]. In Chapters II and III, the de-embedding procedure using microstrip line within the given conditions was discussed. This project included various aspects such as the microstrip line fixturing setup and the construction and handling of small experimental boards. But in spite of the limitations of the early-model Network analyzer HP 8409B which was available for this work, the best possible results were obtained using repeated measurements on the same experimental board to obtain mean values.

To extract the scattering parameters of the device 51 ohm resistor, the nine basic parameters as discussed earlier in Chapter IV (Eqs. 4-11 to 4-19) were used. These equations required the scattering parameters of both fixtures since they are not symmetrical. That is, individual measurements and calculations were required for both fixtures. The matrix equation (Eq. 2-17) involved the three unknown scattering parameters  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{22}$  and  $\Delta \equiv (S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21})$  for fixture A and B. That meant each fixture required three equations for the matrix solution. Three different measurements and calculations of microstrip line were used to set up the above matrix entries, i.e, three different measured reflection coefficients from the network analyzer with respect to the three different microstrip loads, respectively  $\Gamma_{in1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{in2}$  and  $\Gamma_{in3}$  and three different calculated reflection coefficients at the terminals of the three different loads  $\Gamma_{L1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{L2}$  and  $\Gamma_{L3}$  were required. The computed value for  $S_{11}$  and  $S_{22}$  are combined with a knowledge of  $\Delta$  which can be used to determine the  $S_{12}S_{21}$  product. Since  $S_{12}$ , and  $S_{21}$  for the fixtures always appear in product form, the magnitude of these terms can be calculated as the square root of the product term in the expression for  $\Delta$ .

The de-embedded scattering parameters of the device (51 ohm resistor) were found through experiment to be close to the theoretically calculated values, shown in magnitude plots in Figs. 19, 20, and 21 and as tabulated in Table 4. As may be seen in Figs. 19 to 21, the theoretically calculated scattering coefficients of the 51-Ohm resistor device showed only a slight continuous variation over the entire frequency range of interest. The measured values of the embedded device showed, however, rapid irregular fluctuations with frequency, reflecting the probable occurrence of internal resonances within

parasitic discontinuities of the coupling circuitry. It is the task of the de-embedding procedure to remove these effects from the measurement. The curves numbered (3) in the above Figures show the de-embedded measurements, in comparison with the theoretical curves. As may be seen, the de-embedded results followed the expected values closely, showing fluctuations which are assumed to be due to measurement error, and network-analyzer calibration errors.

**Table 4. THE COMPARISON OF THE OUTPUT FOR THE 51 OHM RESISTOR IN DB UNITS**

Freq.(MHz)	Theoretical	De-embedded	Embedded
2000.0	6.11	6.35	11.14
2300.0	5.54	5.12	10.18
2600.0	5.02	4.22	7.13
2900.0	4.55	3.87	6.21
3200.0	4.13	3.19	7.33
3500.0	3.76	3.16	11.29
3800.0	3.42	3.91	11.59
4100.0	3.12	2.66	6.27
4400.0	2.86	4.11	6.07
4700.0	2.62	2.25	9.91
5000.0	2.41	2.11	11.95

$S_{12}$  and  $S_{21}$  terms for fixture A and B always appears in product form, considering their phase is not important. As long as the phase angles of  $S_{21}$  for fixture A and  $S_{21}$  for fixture B are approximately the same, one can choose either of the phase angles. Some confusion may arise, if the scattering parameters of both fixture A and B are specified independently of the final device (51 ohm resistor) parameters. In this case, the approximate phase shift through one fixture can be computed, or determined exper-

imentally, and used to choose the proper phase of  $S_{21}$  for fixture A and  $S_{21}$  for fixture B.

In Chapter V, the de-embedding procedure with symmetrical fixtures was carried out, using as the device a commercial bandpass filter (8-12 GHz). Standard hardware couplers were added to represent the fixtures. Since the fixtures were symmetrical, the de-embedding procedure was relatively straightforward. As may be seen in Figs. 26 to 28, the de-embedded scattering parameters of the filter were very close to the expectation values, i.e. of the scattering parameters of filter without fixtures. It may also be noted that the measured S-parameters of the embedded filter (fixtures plus filter) were very similar to those of the filter alone, except of course for phase; indicative of well-matched coupling hardware. Therefore, in this task, the de-embedded results were found to be in good agreement with the expected values, as shown in Figs. 26 through 28.

APPENDIX A. THE OUTPUTS OF THE NETWORK ANALYZER AND THEIR REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS FOR FIXTURE A AND B




Figure 33. Measurement of the Load 1 (Fixture A)

Table 5. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 1(FIXTURE A)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_{in}$
2000.0	3.07	22.7	$0.65 + j0.27$
2150.0	3.82	-63.1	$0.29 - j0.57$
2300.0	3.79	-153.9	$-0.58 - j0.28$
2450.0	3.46	126.4	$-0.40 + j0.54$
2600.0	3.20	60.5	$0.34 + j0.60$
2750.0	3.33	-1.7	$0.68 - j0.02$
2900.0	4.04	-69.9	$0.22 - j0.59$
3050.0	5.39	-152.8	$-0.48 - j0.25$
3200.0	6.17	107.6	$-0.15 + j0.47$
3350.0	5.32	17.1	$0.52 + j0.16$
3500.0	4.65	-51.0	$0.37 - j0.45$
3650.0	3.97	-111.9	$-0.24 - j0.59$
3800.0	4.89	-175.9	$-0.57 - j0.04$
3950.0	7.73	104.5	$-0.10 + j0.40$
4100.0	7.36	-17.1	$0.41 - j0.13$
4250.0	6.70	-121.4	$-0.24 - j0.39$
4400.0	4.59	170.4	$-0.58 + j0.10$
4550.0	4.12	114.2	$-0.26 + j0.57$
4700.0	4.88	58.9	$0.29 + j0.49$
4850.0	7.91	-9.1	$0.40 - j0.06$
5000.0	8.42	-137.9	$-0.28 - j0.25$




Figure 34. Measurement of the Load 2 (Fixture A)

Table 6. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 2 (FIXTURE A)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_{in}$
2000.0	2.28	98.2	-0.11 + j0.76
2150.0	2.89	29.5	0.62 + j0.35
2300.0	3.77	-49.2	0.42 - j0.49
2450.0	4.12	-138.0	-0.46 - j0.42
2600.0	3.75	136.6	-0.47 + j0.45
2750.0	3.31	65.1	0.29 + j0.62
2900.0	3.40	4.9	0.67 + j0.06
3050.0	3.60	-54.2	0.39 - j0.54
3200.0	4.55	-119.0	-0.29 - j0.52
3350.0	5.78	159.8	-0.48 + j0.18
3500.0	6.39	61.8	0.23 + j0.42
3650.0	5.76	-25.5	0.47 - j0.22
3800.0	5.70	-89.0	0.01 - j0.52
3950.0	4.69	-134.2	-0.41 - j0.42
4100.0	3.91	173.7	-0.63 + j0.07
4250.0	4.48	117.4	-0.27 + j0.53
4400.0	6.84	47.9	0.31 + j0.34
4550.0	8.48	-61.4	0.18 - j0.33
4700.0	7.57	-174.8	-0.42 - j0.04
4850.0	4.92	115.1	-0.24 + j0.51
5000.0	3.99	62.0	0.30 + j0.56






Figure 35. Measurement of the Load 3 (Fixture A)

Table 7. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 3 (FIXTURE A)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_m$
2000.0	2.14	175.1	-0.78 + j0.07
2150.0	2.21	114.5	-0.32 + j0.71
2300.0	2.57	55.4	0.42 + j0.61
2450.0	3.25	-5.1	0.69 - j0.06
2600.0	3.86	-74.3	0.17 - j0.62
2750.0	4.44	-156.0	-0.55 - j0.24
2900.0	4.42	119.8	-0.30 + j0.52
3050.0	3.98	46.6	0.43 + j0.46
3200.0	3.89	-14.2	0.62 - j0.16
3350.0	3.89	-66.5	0.25 - j0.59
3500.0	4.15	-120.7	-0.32 - j0.53
3650.0	5.02	175.9	-0.56 + j0.04
3800.0	8.22	99.0	-0.06 + j0.38
3950.0	8.95	9.5	0.35 + j0.06
4100.0	6.37	-79.5	0.09 - j0.47
4250.0	4.35	-147.2	-0.51 - j0.33
4400.0	3.49	157.8	-0.62 + j0.25
4550.0	3.37	108.4	-0.21 + j0.64
4700.0	3.90	58.8	0.33 + j0.55
4850.0	5.55	1.4	0.53 + j0.01
5000.0	6.59	-82.6	0.06 - j0.46




Figure 36. Measurement of the Load 1 (Fixture B)

Table 8. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 1 (FIXTURE B)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_m$
2000.0	1.97	-117.8	-0.37 - j0.71
2150.0	1.89	-176.2	-0.80 - j0.05
2300.0	2.00	130.2	-0.51 + j0.61
2450.0	2.27	81.9	0.11 + j0.76
2600.0	2.40	34.8	0.62 + j0.43
2750.0	2.62	-13.9	0.72 - j0.18
2900.0	3.04	-67.3	0.27 - j0.65
3050.0	3.87	-130.3	-0.41 - j0.49
3200.0	5.05	151.6	-0.49 + j0.27
3350.0	5.41	64.0	0.24 + j0.48
3500.0	4.91	-11.7	0.56 - j0.12
3650.0	3.87	-67.6	0.24 - j0.59
3800.0	2.90	-118.9	-0.35 - j0.63
3950.0	2.55	-166.3	-0.72 - j0.18
4100.0	2.68	147.0	-0.62 + j0.40
4250.0	3.33	96.3	-0.07 + j0.68
4400.0	4.95	36.4	0.46 + j0.34
4550.0	7.33	-43.4	0.31 - j0.30
4700.0	7.51	-139.7	-0.32 - j0.27
4850.0	5.35	143.6	-0.43 + j0.32
5000.0	3.67	87.9	0.02 + j0.65




Figure 37. Measurement of the Load 2 (Fixture B)

Table 9. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 2 (FIXTURE B)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_m$
2000.0	2.32	-26.9	0.68 - j0.35
2150.0	2.46	-91.9	-0.02 - j0.75
2300.0	2.44	-155.5	-0.69 - j0.31
2450.0	2.51	147.3	-0.63 + j0.40
2600.0	2.41	96.6	-0.09 + j0.75
2750.0	2.25	50.1	0.50 + j0.59
2900.0	2.35	6.9	0.76 + j0.09
3050.0	2.28	-35.9	0.62 - j0.45
3200.0	2.51	-78.5	0.15 - j0.73
3350.0	2.69	-123.9	-0.41 - j0.61
3500.0	3.25	-175.1	-0.69 - j0.06
3650.0	4.27	126.2	-0.36 + j0.49
3800.0	5.24	56.1	0.31 + j0.45
3950.0	5.38	-20.6	0.50 - j0.19
4100.0	4.49	-90.2	0.00 - j0.60
4250.0	3.49	-147.9	-0.57 - j0.36
4400.0	2.76	163.0	-0.70 - j0.21
4550.0	2.43	118.9	-0.37 + j0.66
4700.0	2.36	77.5	0.16 + j0.74
4850.0	2.55	36.2	0.60 + j0.44
5000.0	3.32	-8.6	0.67 - j0.10




Figure 38. Measurement of the Load 3 (Fixture B)

Table 10. THE MEASUREMENT OF THE LOAD 3 (FIXTURE B)

Freq.(MHz)	dB (loss)	Angle(deg.)	$\Gamma_c$
2000.0	1.41	78.5	$0.17 + j0.83$
2150.0	1.71	28.1	$0.72 + j0.39$
2300.0	2.16	-26.2	$0.70 - j0.34$
2450.0	2.48	-84.3	$0.07 - j0.75$
2600.0	2.69	-145.9	$-0.61 - j0.41$
2750.0	2.85	152.4	$-0.64 + j0.33$
2900.0	2.85	94.8	$-0.06 + j0.72$
3050.0	2.75	43.2	$0.53 + j0.50$
3200.0	2.77	-2.9	$0.73 - j0.04$
3350.0	2.68	-44.3	$0.53 - j0.51$
3500.0	2.68	-82.4	$0.10 - j0.73$
3650.0	1.93	-121.1	$-0.41 - j0.69$
3800.0	1.91	-162.1	$-0.76 - j0.25$
3950.0	2.02	157.0	$-0.73 + j0.31$
4100.0	2.42	113.2	$-0.30 + j0.70$
4250.0	3.21	64.6	$0.30 + j0.62$
4400.0	4.49	7.5	$0.59 + j0.08$
4550.0	5.70	-60.8	$0.25 - j0.45$
4700.0	5.80	-134.9	$-0.36 - j0.36$
4850.0	4.89	158.8	$-0.53 + j0.21$
5000.0	3.72	105.1	$-0.17 + j0.63$

## APPENDIX B. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURES

Table 11. THE S - PARAMETERS OF FIXTURE A

Freq.(MHz)	$A_{11}$	$A_{12}$	$A_{22}$
2000.0	-0.130 + j0.082	0.837 - j0.143	0.132 + j0.029
2150.0	-0.064 + j0.153	0.668 - j0.499	0.139 - j0.019
2300.0	0.026 + j0.165	0.355 - j0.738	0.130 - j0.080
2450.0	0.099 + j0.125	0.021 + j0.802	0.100 - j0.147
2600.0	0.141 + j0.069	0.380 + j0.701	0.034 - j0.178
2750.0	0.151 - j0.007	0.653 + j0.443	-0.018 - j0.180
2900.0	0.091 - j0.063	0.777 + j0.087	-0.032 - j0.128
3050.0	0.048 - j0.055	0.717 - j0.287	-0.003 - j0.074
3200.0	0.042 - j0.026	0.485 - j0.576	0.060 - j0.037
3350.0	0.098 - j0.025	0.151 - j0.720	0.180 - j0.031
3500.0	0.137 - j0.113	0.199 + j0.679	0.288 - j0.088
3650.0	0.054 - j0.265	0.470 + j0.482	0.330 - j0.184
3800.0	-0.148 - j0.261	0.579 + j0.214	0.327 - j0.254
3950.0	-0.258 - j0.163	0.625 - j0.036	0.321 - j0.239
4100.0	-0.334 + j0.061	0.581 - j0.305	0.299 - j0.322
4250.0	-0.256 + j0.288	0.318 - j0.506	0.442 - j0.181
4400.0	0.174 + j0.317	0.008 - j0.477	0.713 - j0.044
4550.0	-0.502 - j0.872	0.225 - j1.580	1.743 - j1.374
4700.0	-0.064 - j0.531	0.670 - j0.309	0.034 - j0.761
4850.0	0.183 + j0.229	0.651 + j0.057	0.137 - j0.304
5000.0	0.062 + j0.136	0.646 - j0.289	0.219 - j0.013

Table 12. THE S - PARAMETERS OF FIXTURE B

Freq.(MHz)	$B_{11}$	$B_{12}$	$B_{22}$
2000.0	-0.060 + j0.107	0.342 - j0.834	0.036 - j0.076
2150.0	-0.007 + j0.116	0.057 - j0.892	0.008 - j0.081
2300.0	0.027 + j0.100	0.230 + j0.850	-0.032 - j0.085
2450.0	0.049 + j0.091	0.475 + j0.723	-0.083 - j0.082
2600.0	0.080 + j0.084	0.669 + j0.533	-0.115 - j0.075
2750.0	0.124 + j0.063	0.792 - j0.291	-0.125 - j0.062
2900.0	0.152 + j0.007	0.831 + j0.028	-0.136 - j0.029
3050.0	0.158 - j0.073	0.788 - j0.229	-0.125 - j0.007
3200.0	0.106 - j0.143	0.669 - j0.451	-0.110 + j0.016
3350.0	0.026 - j0.168	0.494 - j0.630	-0.064 + j0.036
3500.0	-0.035 - j0.149	0.268 - j0.741	0.016 + j0.059
3650.0	-0.092 - j0.112	0.033 - j0.790	0.108 + j0.019
3800.0	-0.076 - j0.072	0.230 + j0.762	0.165 + j0.018
3950.0	-0.069 - j0.097	0.468 + j0.633	0.261 + j0.019
4100.0	-0.137 - j0.157	0.636 + j0.412	0.389 + j0.014
4250.0	-0.331 - j0.130	0.681 + j0.135	0.543 + j0.018
4400.0	-0.572 + j0.161	0.478 - j0.116	0.808 + j0.024
4550.0	-0.114 + j1.171	1.062 + j0.589	1.625 - j0.527
4700.0	0.230 - j0.171	0.577 - j0.759	0.163 - j0.968
4850.0	-0.065 + j0.166	0.306 - j0.676	0.219 - j0.546
5000.0	0.124 + j0.326	0.091 - j0.685	0.291 - j0.446

**APPENDIX C. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE EMBEDDED AND  
DE-EMBEDDED 51 OHM RESISTOR**

**Table 13. THE THEORETICAL S - PARAMETERS OF THE 51  
OHM RESISTOR IN DB UNITS.**

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{21}$	$S_{22}$
2000.0	6.11	4.27	4.27	6.11
2150.0	5.82	4.37	4.37	5.82
2300.0	5.54	4.47	4.47	5.54
2450.0	5.28	4.58	4.58	5.28
2600.0	5.02	4.69	4.69	5.02
2750.0	4.78	4.81	4.81	4.78
2900.0	4.55	4.93	4.93	4.55
3050.0	4.34	5.05	5.05	4.34
3200.0	4.13	5.17	5.17	4.13
3350.0	3.94	5.30	5.30	3.94
3500.0	3.76	5.43	5.43	3.76
3650.0	3.58	5.56	5.56	3.58
3800.0	3.42	5.69	5.69	3.42
3950.0	3.27	5.82	5.82	3.27
4100.0	3.12	5.95	5.95	3.12
4250.0	2.99	6.09	6.09	2.99
4400.0	2.86	6.22	6.22	2.86
4550.0	2.73	6.36	6.36	2.73
4700.0	2.62	6.49	6.49	2.62
4850.0	2.51	6.63	6.63	2.51
5000.0	2.41	6.76	6.76	2.41

Table 14. THE S - PARAMETERS OF BOTH FIXTURE AND 51 OHM RESISTOR

Freq.(MHz)	$M_{11}$	$M_{12}$	$M_{21}$	$M_{22}$
2000.0	11.14	4.40	4.60	6.45
2150.0	11.38	4.64	4.71	6.27
2300.0	10.18	4.90	4.80	6.05
2450.0	8.47	5.36	5.35	5.86
2600.0	7.13	5.87	5.84	5.51
2750.0	6.35	6.30	6.25	4.99
2900.0	6.21	6.99	6.99	4.68
3050.0	6.51	7.54	7.49	4.48
3200.0	7.33	7.32	7.25	4.43
3350.0	8.92	7.04	6.65	4.94
3500.0	11.29	7.10	5.81	5.75
3650.0	12.01	6.46	6.31	6.18
3800.0	11.59	7.30	7.25	6.91
3950.0	9.15	6.45	6.90	7.83
4100.0	6.27	6.35	6.56	9.07
4250.0	5.53	6.00	6.25	1.78
4400.0	6.07	5.61	5.85	12.72
4550.0	7.54	5.30	5.50	12.53
4700.0	9.91	5.34	5.39	10.00
4850.0	10.46	5.70	5.66	7.82
5000.0	11.95	6.10	6.05	6.53



Table 15. THE DE - EMBEDDED OUTPUT OF 51 OHM RESISTOR DEVICE UNDER TEST.

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{21}$	$S_{22}$
2000.0	6.35	3.62	3.82	4.92
2150.0	5.72	3.97	3.64	5.84
2300.0	5.12	3.97	3.97	4.74
2450.0	4.57	3.18	3.17	3.75
2600.0	4.22	3.39	3.36	3.04
2750.0	3.61	3.66	3.61	4.74
2900.0	3.87	4.27	4.27	3.77
3050.0	3.64	4.91	4.86	2.91
3200.0	3.19	4.51	4.44	2.67
3350.0	3.03	4.16	3.77	2.41
3500.0	3.16	4.72	4.43	3.79
3650.0	3.62	4.65	4.50	4.45
3800.0	3.91	4.56	4.51	3.72
3950.0	3.35	5.38	4.83	2.73
4100.0	2.66	5.06	5.27	4.66
4250.0	3.45	5.71	5.46	5.31
4400.0	4.11	5.83	6.07	2.52
4550.0	3.17	6.36	6.56	2.07
4700.0	2.25	6.73	6.68	3.34
4850.0	2.08	6.73	6.69	2.95
5000.0	2.11	6.96	6.91	2.02

**APPENDIX D. THE S-PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE, FILTER  
EMBEDDED, FILTER WITHOUT FIXTURES AND FILTER  
DE-EMBEDDED**

Table 16. THE S - PARAMETERS OF THE FIXTURE

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{22}$
6000.0	-0.08 + j0.17	0.81 + j0.55	-0.09 + j0.13
6320.0	-0.09 + j0.04	0.76 - j0.61	-0.17 + j0.10
6640.0	-0.06 + j0.04	-0.39 - j0.90	-0.03 + j0.12
6960.0	-0.05 + j0.03	-0.98 + j0.16	-0.08 + j0.07
7280.0	-0.19 + j0.03	-0.08 + j0.97	-0.18 + j0.15
7600.0	-0.13 + j0.14	0.92 + j0.36	-0.05 + j0.18
7920.0	0.01 + j0.07	0.57 - j0.81	0.01 + j0.11
8240.0	-0.07 + j0.00	-0.63 - j0.76	0.02 + j0.11
8560.0	-0.12 + j0.04	-0.90 + j0.42	0.03 - j0.01
8880.0	-0.10 + j0.07	0.18 + j0.97	-0.11 - j0.03
9200.0	0.00 + j0.19	0.96 + j0.06	-0.03 + j0.20
9520.0	0.10 + j0.14	0.33 - j0.91	0.11 + j0.11
9840.0	0.11 - j0.04	-0.81 - j0.55	-0.02 - j0.09
10160.0	0.12 - j0.19	-0.74 + j0.66	-0.03 - j0.03
10480.0	-0.03 - j0.13	0.42 + j0.88	0.03 + j0.02
10800.0	-0.02 - j0.14	0.96 - j0.18	-0.02 - j0.09
11120.0	-0.18 - j0.12	0.06 - j0.98	-0.16 + j0.03
11440.0	-0.14 - j0.03	-0.91 - j0.33	-0.08 + j0.02
11760.0	-0.02 - j0.14	-0.57 + j0.79	-0.04 - j0.04
12080.0	-0.04 - j0.04	0.63 + j0.75	0.04 + j0.06
12400.0	-0.02 - j0.10	0.86 - j0.45	-0.02 - j0.04

Table 17. THE S - PARAMETERS OF FILTER + FIXTURE

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{22}$
6000.0	-0.79 - j0.45	0.00 + j0.00	0.54 + j0.75
6320.0	0.89 - j0.14	0.00 + j0.00	-0.36 - j0.82
6640.0	-0.65 + j0.62	0.01 + j0.01	-0.02 + j0.90
6960.0	0.11 - j0.91	0.01 + j0.01	0.05 - j0.89
7280.0	0.62 + j0.64	0.01 + j0.01	-0.24 + j0.90
7600.0	-0.80 + j0.45	-0.02 + j0.02	0.02 - j0.91
7920.0	0.11 - j0.24	-0.30 + j0.86	0.00 + j0.25
8240.0	-0.13 + j0.20	-0.34 + j0.86	-0.01 - j0.15
8560.0	-0.01 + j0.36	-0.82 + j0.24	0.15 + j0.27
8880.0	0.01 + j0.04	-0.61 - j0.69	-0.01 - j0.05
9200.0	0.31 + j0.01	0.56 - j0.65	0.03 + j0.31
9520.0	0.27 + j0.29	0.76 + j0.41	-0.28 - j0.06
9840.0	-0.16 + j0.22	-0.48 + j0.76	0.07 - j0.23
10160.0	-0.06 + j0.23	-0.73 - j0.50	0.00 + j0.29
10480.0	-0.06 - j0.26	0.47 - j0.76	-0.26 - j0.03
10800.0	0.21 - j0.02	0.79 + j0.46	-0.05 - j0.19
11120.0	-0.17 - j0.07	-0.45 + j0.78	-0.14 - j0.02
11440.0	-0.14 + j0.00	-0.83 - j0.37	0.14 + j0.01
11760.0	0.19 + j0.07	0.16 - j0.86	0.17 + j0.05
12080.0	0.25 - j0.24	0.72 - j0.28	-0.48 - j0.13
12400.0	-0.15 + j0.70	0.74 + j0.18	0.59 + j0.12

Table 18. THE S - PARAMETERS OF FILTER WITHOUT FIXTURES IN DB UNITS

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{21}$	$S_{22}$
6000.0	1.57	83.91	88.79	0.62
6320.0	1.96	91.38	105.37	1.69
6640.0	0.47	75.81	78.12	0.19
6960.0	0.87	69.94	69.39	0.85
7280.0	0.77	54.40	51.94	0.86
7600.0	0.70	29.99	31.60	1.12
7920.0	10.17	0.57	0.50	9.15
8240.0	11.32	0.15	0.16	12.00
8560.0	8.62	0.45	0.45	10.05
8880.0	15.77	0.20	0.08	13.81
9200.0	9.66	0.40	0.20	9.88
9520.0	10.97	0.25	0.33	11.81
9840.0	9.65	0.27	0.66	9.11
10160.0	8.39	0.45	0.40	10.81
10480.0	12.78	0.15	0.20	12.01
10800.0	11.35	0.43	0.45	11.87
11120.0	13.64	0.30	0.20	14.39
11440.0	10.28	0.31	0.46	16.09
11760.0	15.44	0.60	0.41	15.84
12080.0	7.35	1.40	1.10	9.98
12400.0	8.85	1.45	0.96	11.51

Table 19. THE DE-EMBEDDED OUTPUT OF FILTER UNDER TEST

Freq.(MHz)	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{21}$	$S_{22}$
6000.0	1.58	37.03	90.64	0.28
6320.0	2.03	41.18	91.12	1.15
6640.0	1.11	41.62	76.82	0.14
6960.0	2.32	59.94	71.12	0.90
7280.0	0.68	51.99	53.34	1.21
7600.0	0.21	30.06	31.45	0.92
7920.0	10.50	1.27	1.51	9.64
8240.0	10.99	0.59	0.60	13.25
8560.0	7.97	1.25	1.18	10.17
8880.0	12.83	1.12	0.55	10.50
9200.0	9.57	1.36	0.70	10.77
9520.0	8.80	0.35	0.94	9.70
9840.0	10.83	0.11	0.81	8.38
10160.0	7.58	0.74	0.90	10.05
10480.0	11.96	0.62	0.75	9.76
10800.0	12.39	0.67	0.73	9.99
11120.0	11.49	0.14	0.20	9.78
11440.0	8.94	0.38	0.76	15.48
11760.0	13.73	0.76	0.94	14.58
12080.0	8.20	2.11	1.41	6.85
12400.0	8.41	1.77	1.38	7.79

# APPENDIX E. FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR DE-EMBEDDING THE 51 OHM RESISTOR

```

DIMENSION X(200),MDB11(200),PSE11(200)
          MDB12(200),PSE12(200)
          MDB21(200),PSE21(200)
          MDB22(200),PSE22(200),MDB222(200)
REAL MDB11,MDB12,MDB21,MDB22,MDB222
     MAG11,MAG12,MAG21,MAG22
     IM11,IM12,IM21,IM22
COMPLEX S11,S12,S21,S22,A12A21,B12B21
        A11,A12,A21,A22,AA121,A12B12,A21B21
        B11,B12,B21,B22,BB121
        M11,M12,M21,M22
        E,FF,G,H,N,AABB
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)

DO 10 I=1,21
  F=18.5*10.**8+1.5*10.**8*FLOAT(I)
  X(I)=F/1000000.

CALL FIX1(A11,A12,A21,A22,A12A21,AMAG12,PSEA12,F)

CALL TMFS(M11,M12,M21,M22,F)

CALL FIX2(B11,B12,B21,B22,B12B21,BMAG12,PSEB12,F)

TMAG=AMAG12*BMAG12
TPSE=PSEA12+PSEB12
XRE=TMAG*COS(TPSE)
XIM=TMAG*SIN(TPSE)
A12B12=CMPLX(XRE,XIM)
A21B21=A12B12

E=M12/(A12B12)
FF=M21/(A21B21)
G=(M11-A11)/A12A21
H=(M22-B22)/B12B21
N=(1.+A22*G)*(1.+B11*H)-A22*B11*E*FF

S11=(G*(1.+B11*H)-B11*E*FF)/N
S12=E/N
S21=FF/N
S22=(H*(1.+A22*G)-A22*E*FF)/N

QIM1=AIMAG(S11)

```

```

QRE1=REAL(S11)
QIM2=AIMAG(S12)
QRE2=REAL(S12)
QIM3=AIMAG(S21)
QRE3=REAL(S21)
QIM4=AIMAG(S22)
QRE4=REAL(S22)

```

FIND A MAGNITUDE AND PHASE(ANGLE)

```

MAG11=CABS(S11)
MAG12=CABS(S12)
MAG21=CABS(S21)
MAG22=CABS(S22)
MDB11(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG11))
MDB12(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG12))
MDB21(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG21))
MDB22(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG22))
PSE11(I)=ATAN2(QIM1,QRE1)*180./PI
PSE12(I)=ATAN2(QIM2,QRE2)*180./PI
PSE21(I)=ATAN2(QIM3,QRE3)*180./PI
PSE22(I)=ATAN2(QIM4,QRE4)*180./PI

```

```

WRITE(6,101) X(I),MDB11(I),MDB12(I),MDB21(I),MDB22(I),
1 PSE11(I),PSE12(I),PSE21(I),PSE22(I)

```

```

101 FORMAT(F6.0,8(1X,F7.2))
10 CONTINUE
END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE TMFS (D11,D12,D21,D22,F)
C *****

```

```

COMPLEX D11,D12,D21,D22
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)

```

INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK 9  
( BOTH FIXTURE + DEVICE )

```

21 READ(9,21)DB11,ANG11,DB21,ANG21,DB12,ANG12,DB22,ANG22
FORMAT(8(F7.2))
V11=10.**( -DB11/20. )
V12=10.**( -DB12/20. )
V21=10.**( -DB21/20. )
V22=10.**( -DB22/20. )
RAD11=PI/180.*ANG11
RAD12=PI/180.*ANG12
RAD21=PI/180.*ANG21
RAD22=PI/180.*ANG22

QRE11=V11*COS(RAD11)
QRE12=V12*COS(RAD12)
QRE21=V21*COS(RAD21)

```

```

QRE22=V22*COS(RAD22)
QIM11=V11*SIN(RAD11)
QIM12=V12*SIN(RAD12)
QIM21=V21*SIN(RAD21)
QIM22=V22*SIN(RAD22)

D11=CMPLX(QRE11,QIM11)
D12=CMPLX(QRE12,QIM12)
D21=CMPLX(QRE21,QIM21)
D22=CMPLX(QRE22,QIM22)

```

```

RETURN
END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE FIX1(S11,S12,S21,S22,SS,SMAG12,SPSE12,F)
C *****

```

```

FOR FIXTURE A

```

```

COMPLEX A(3,3),H(3,3),B(1,3),C(3),D(3,3),SS121
COMPLEX S11,S12,S21,S22,SS

```

```

CALL DATA1(A,B,F)

```

```

CALL CPX(A)

```

```

10 DO 10 I=1,3
    C(I)=A(1,I)*B(1,1)+A(2,I)*B(1,2)+A(3,I)*B(1,3)
    CONTINUE

```

```

S11=C(1)
S22=C(2)
SS121=C(3)
SS=S11*S22-SS121

```

```

SIM=AIMAG(SS)
SRE=REAL(SS)
SMAGSS=CABS(SS)
PSESS=ATAN2(SIM,SRE)
SPSE12=0.5*PSESS
SPSE21=SPSE12
SMAG12=SMAGSS**0.5
SMAG21=SMAG12

```

```

S12=(S11*S22-SS121)**0.5
S21=S12
RETURN
END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE DATA1(D,B,F)
C *****
COMPLEX D(3,3),B(1,3),RIN1,RIN2,RIN3,RL1,RL2,RL3

```



```
CALL RLOAD(RL1,RL2,RL3,F)
```

```
CALL RIN(RIN1,RIN2,RIN3)
```

```
D(1,1)=1./RL1
D(1,2)=1./RL2
D(1,3)=1./RL3
D(2,1)=RIN1
D(2,2)=RIN2
D(2,3)=RIN3
D(3,1)=(-1.,.0)
D(3,2)=(-1.,.0)
D(3,3)=(-1.,.0)
B(1,1)=RIN1/RL1
B(1,2)=RIN2/RL2
B(1,3)=RIN3/RL3
RETURN
END
```

```
C *****
C SUBROUTINE RIN(RIN1,RIN2,RIN3)
C *****
```

```
COMPLEX RIN1,RIN2,RIN3
```

```
CALL F1DAT(DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113)
```

```
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)
INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK8
(FIXTURE 1 ONLY)
V111=10.**(-DB111/20.)
V112=10.**(-DB112/20.)
V113=10.**(-DB113/20.)
RAD111=PI/180.*ANG111
RAD112=PI/180.*ANG112
RAD113=PI/180.*ANG113

QRE111=V111*COS(RAD111)
QRE112=V112*COS(RAD112)
QRE113=V113*COS(RAD113)
QIM111=V111*SIN(RAD111)
QIM112=V112*SIN(RAD112)
QIM113=V113*SIN(RAD113)

RIN1=CMPLX(QRE111,QIM111)
RIN2=CMPLX(QRE112,QIM112)
RIN3=CMPLX(QRE113,QIM113)
RETURN
END
```

```
C *****
C SUBROUTINE F1DAT(DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113)
C *****
```

INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK 8  
(For FIXTURE A)

20 READ(8,20)DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113  
FORMAT(6(F7.2))  
RETURN  
END

C \*\*\*\*\*  
C SUBROUTINE FIX2(S11,S12,S21,S22,SSS,SMAG12,SPSE12,F)  
C \*\*\*\*\*

FOR FIXTURE 2

COMPLEX A(3,3),H(3,3),B(1,3),C(3),D(3,3),SS121  
COMPLEX S11,S12,S21,S22,SSS

CALL DATA2(A,B,F)

CALL CPX(A)

DO 10 I=1,3  
C(I)=A(1,I)\*B(1,1)+A(2,I)\*B(1,2)+A(3,I)\*B(1,3)  
10 CONTINUE  
S11=C(1)  
S22=C(2)  
SS121=C(3)  
SSS=S11\*S22-SS121

SIM=AIMAG(SSS)  
SRE=REAL(SSS)  
SMAGSS=CABS(SSS)  
PSESS=ATAN2(SIM,SRE)  
SPSE12=0.5\*PSESS  
SPSE21=SPSE12  
SMAG12=SMAGSS\*\*0.5  
SMAG21=SMAG12

S12=(S11\*S22-SS121)\*\*0.5  
S21=S12  
RETURN  
END

C \*\*\*\*\*  
C SUBROUTINE DATA2(D,B,F)  
C \*\*\*\*\*

COMPLEX D(3,3),B(1,3),RIN1,RIN2,RIN3,RL1,RL2,RL3

CALL RLOAD(RL1,RL2,RL3,F)

CALL R2IN(RIN1,RIN2,RIN3)

```

D(1,1)=1./RL1
D(1,2)=1./RL2
D(1,3)=1./RL3
D(2,1)=RIN1
D(2,2)=RIN2
D(2,3)=RIN3
D(3,1)=(-1.,.0)
D(3,2)=(-1.,.0)
D(3,3)=(-1.,.0)
B(1,1)=RIN1/RL1
B(1,2)=RIN2/RL2
B(1,3)=RIN3/RL3
RETURN
END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE R2IN(RIN1,RIN2,RIN3)
C *****

```

```

COMPLEX RIN1,RIN2,RIN3

```

```

CALL F2DAT(DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113)

```

```

PI=4.*ATAN(1.)

```

```

V111=10.**(-DB111/20.)

```

```

V112=10.**(-DB112/20.)

```

```

V113=10.**(-DB113/20.)

```

```

RAD111=PI/180.*ANG111

```

```

RAD112=PI/180.*ANG112

```

```

RAD113=PI/180.*ANG113

```

```

QRE111=V111*COS(RAD111)

```

```

QRE112=V112*COS(RAD112)

```

```

QRE113=V113*COS(RAD113)

```

```

QIM111=V111*SIN(RAD111)

```

```

QIM112=V112*SIN(RAD112)

```

```

QIM113=V113*SIN(RAD113)

```

```

RIN1=CMPLX(QRE111,QIM111)

```

```

RIN2=CMPLX(QRE112,QIM112)

```

```

RIN3=CMPLX(QRE113,QIM113)

```

```

RETURN

```

```

END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE F2DAT(DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113)
C *****

```

```

INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK 10
(For FIXTURE B)

```

```

20 READ(10,20)DB111,ANG111,DB112,ANG112,DB113,ANG113
FORMAT(6(F7.2))

```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
C *****
C SUBROUTINE CPX(A)
C *****

INTEGER IP(3)
COMPLEX A(3,3),W(3),DET(2)

CALL CGEFA(A,3,3,IP,INFO)

CALL CGEDI(A,3,3,IP,DET,W,01)

RETURN
END
```

```
C *****
C SUBROUTINE RLOAD(RL1,RL2,RL3,F)
C *****

COMPLEX RL1,RL2,RL3,ZO,ZL1,ZL2,ZL3
REAL L1,L2,L3
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)
C=3.*10**8
ZOS=68.2
ERE=2.833
ERES=(ERE)**0.5
L1=0.04
L2=0.03
L3=0.02

A1=COS(2.*PI*F*L1*ERES/C)
B1=SIN(2.*PI*F*L1*ERES/C)
A2=COS(2.*PI*F*L2*ERES/C)
B2=SIN(2.*PI*F*L2*ERES/C)
A3=COS(2.*PI*F*L3*ERES/C)
B3=SIN(2.*PI*F*L3*ERES/C)
R1=-ZOS*(A1/B1)
R2=-ZOS*(A2/B2)
R3=-ZOS*(A3/B3)
ZL1=CMPLX(0.,R1)
ZL2=CMPLX(0.,R2)
ZL3=CMPLX(0.,R3)
ZO=CMPLX(50.,0.)
RL1=(ZL1-ZO)/(ZL1+ZO)
RL2=(ZL2-ZO)/(ZL2+ZO)
RL3=(ZL3-ZO)/(ZL3+ZO)
RETURN
END
```

```
*****
```

THE INPUT DATA FILE FOR DISK 8  
 3 DIFFERENT LOAD FOR FIXTURE A

\*\*\*\*\*

3.07	22.7	2.28	98.2	2.14	175.1
3.82	-63.1	2.89	29.5	2.21	114.5
3.79	-153.9	3.77	-49.2	2.57	55.4
3.46	126.4	4.12	-138.0	3.25	-5.1
3.20	60.5	3.75	136.6	3.86	-74.3
3.33	-1.7	3.31	65.1	4.44	-156.0
4.04	-69.9	3.40	4.9	4.42	119.8
5.39	-152.8	3.60	-54.2	3.98	46.6
6.17	107.6	4.55	-119.0	3.89	-14.2
5.32	17.1	5.78	159.8	3.89	-66.5
4.65	-51.0	6.39	61.8	4.15	-120.7
3.97	-111.9	5.76	-25.5	5.02	175.9
4.89	-175.9	5.70	-89.0	8.22	99.0
7.73	104.5	4.69	-134.2	8.95	9.5
7.36	-17.1	3.91	173.7	6.37	-79.5
6.70	-121.4	4.48	117.4	4.35	-147.2
4.59	170.4	6.84	47.9	3.49	157.8
4.12	114.2	8.48	-61.4	3.37	108.4
4.88	58.9	7.57	-174.8	3.90	58.8
7.91	-9.1	4.92	115.1	5.55	1.4
8.42	-137.9	3.99	62.0	6.59	-82.6

\*\*\*\*\*

THE INPUT DATA FILE FOR DISK 9  
 BOTH FIXTURE AND 51 OHM RES.

\*\*\*\*\*

11.14	-9.3	4.60	51.0	4.40	51.4	6.45	-150.2
11.38	-81.2	4.71	-1.5	4.64	0.2	6.27	173.1
10.18	-154.3	4.80	-53.9	4.90	-52.2	6.05	137.6
8.47	143.1	5.35	-106.1	5.36	-103.3	5.86	103.2
7.13	89.7	5.84	-152.2	5.87	-150.5	5.51	70.3
6.35	41.0	6.25	159.0	6.30	160.2	4.99	37.0
6.21	-5.3	6.99	112.1	6.99	113.1	4.68	3.0
6.51	-51.8	7.49	66.6	7.54	68.4	4.48	-30.7
7.33	-102.0	7.25	19.4	7.32	22.0	4.43	-65.6
8.92	-163.3	6.65	-26.9	7.04	-25.9	4.94	-101.3
11.29	112.5	5.81	-79.3	7.10	-73.4	5.75	-133.5
12.01	12.6	6.31	-133.3	6.46	-121.3	6.18	-165.5
11.59	-61.5	7.25	179.3	7.30	174.6	6.91	160.7
9.15	-93.7	6.90	135.8	6.45	133.3	7.83	125.8
6.27	-142.5	6.56	85.4	6.35	83.2	9.07	87.1
5.53	169.5	6.25	34.5	6.00	34.1	1.78	41.0
6.07	126.3	5.85	-17.5	5.61	-14.6	12.72	-22.9
7.54	87.7	5.50	-69.5	5.30	-64.8	12.53	-104.0
9.91	51.8	5.39	-121.9	5.34	-118.0	10.00	-174.9
10.46	23.7	5.66	-176.7	5.70	-171.1	7.82	131.2
11.95	44.3	6.05	131.4	6.10	134.9	6.53	87.5

\*\*\*\*\*  
 THE INPUT DATA FILE FOR DISK 10  
 3 DIFFERENT LOAD FOR FIXTURE B  
 \*\*\*\*\*

1.97	-117.8	2.32	-26.9	1.41	78.5
1.89	-176.2	2.46	-91.9	1.71	28.1
2.00	130.2	2.44	-155.5	2.16	-26.2
2.27	81.9	2.51	147.3	2.48	-84.3
2.40	34.8	2.41	96.6	2.69	-145.9
2.62	-13.9	2.25	50.1	2.85	152.4
3.04	-67.3	2.35	6.9	2.85	94.8
3.87	-130.3	2.28	-35.9	2.75	43.2
5.05	151.6	2.51	-78.5	2.77	-2.9
5.41	64.0	2.69	-123.9	2.68	-44.3
4.91	-11.7	3.25	-175.1	2.68	-82.4
3.87	-67.6	4.27	126.2	1.93	-121.1
2.90	-118.9	5.24	56.1	1.91	-162.1
2.55	-166.3	5.38	-20.6	2.02	157.0
2.68	147.0	4.49	-90.2	2.42	113.2
3.33	96.3	3.49	-147.9	3.21	64.6
4.95	36.4	2.76	163.0	4.49	7.5
7.33	-43.4	2.43	118.9	5.70	-60.8
7.51	-139.7	2.36	77.5	5.80	-134.9
5.35	143.6	2.55	36.2	4.89	158.8
3.67	87.9	3.32	-8.6	3.72	105.1

# APPENDIX F. FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR DE-EMBEDDING THE FILTER

```
DIMENSION X(21),MDB11(21),PSE11(21)
          MDB12(21),PSE12(21)
          MDB21(21),PSE21(21)
          MDB22(21),PSE22(21)
REAL     MDB11,MDB12,MDB21,MDB22
          MAG11,MAG12,MAG21,MAG22
          IM11,IM12,IM21,IM22
COMPLEX  S11,S12,S21,S22
          A11,A12,A21,A22
          B11,B12,B21,B22,W
          D11,D12,D21,D22
          C11,C12,C21,C22
          F11,F12,F21,F22
          M11,M12,M21,M22
          H11,H12,H21,H22
```

```
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)
```

```
DO 10 I=1,21
```

```
INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK8
(FIXTURE 1 ONLY)
```

```
20 READ(8,20)DB11,DB12,DB21,DB22,ANG11,ANG12,ANG21,ANG22
   FORMAT(8(F7.2))
```

```
V11=10.**(-DB11/20.)
V12=10.**(-DB12/20.)
V21=10.**(-DB21/20.)
V22=10.**(-DB22/20.)
RAD11=PI/180.*ANG11
RAD12=PI/180.*ANG12
RAD21=PI/180.*ANG21
RAD22=PI/180.*ANG22
```

```
QRE11=V11*COS(RAD11)
QRE12=V12*COS(RAD12)
QRE21=V21*COS(RAD21)
QRE22=V22*COS(RAD22)
QIM11=V11*SIN(RAD11)
QIM12=V12*SIN(RAD12)
QIM21=V21*SIN(RAD21)
QIM22=V22*SIN(RAD22)
```

```
A11=CMPLX(QRE11,QIM11)
A12=CMPLX(QRE12,QIM12)
```

```

A21=CMPLX(QRE21,QIM21)
A22=CMPLX(QRE22,QIM22)

C    "S"PARAMETERS TO "T"PARAMETERS

      B11=-(A11*A22-A12*A21)/A21
      B12= A11/A21
      B21=-A22/A21
      B22=1/A21

C    INVERSE OF FIXTURE 1 "T"PARAMETER

      W= 1/(B11*B22-B21*B12)
      C11=W*B22
      C12=-W*B21
      C21= -W*B12
      C22= W*B11

      CALL OF 'T'PARAMETERS OF BOTH FIXTURE + FILTER

      CALL TMFS(D11,D12,D21,D22,I)

C    (INVERSE OF FIXTURE 1) * (BOTH FIXTURE+DEVICE)

      H11=C11*D11+C21*D12
      H12=C12*D11+C22*D12
      H21=C11*D21+C21*D22
      H22=C12*D21+C22*D22

      CALL OF INVERSE 'T'PARAMETER OF FIXTURE 2

      CALL FIX2(F11,F12,F21,F22)

C    CASCADE OF FIXTURE 1, FILTER AND FIXTURE 2

      M11=H11*F11+H21*F12
      M12=H12*F11+H22*F12
      M21=H11*F21+H21*F22
      M22=H12*F21+H22*F22

C    "T"PARAMETERS TO "S"PARAMETERS

      S11=M12/M22
      S12= M11-(M12*M21)/M22
      S21= 1/M22
      S22= -M21/M22

      QIM1=AIMAG(S11)
      QRE1=REAL(S11)
      QIM2=AIMAG(S12)
      QRE2=REAL(S12)
      QIM3=AIMAG(S21)
      QRE3=REAL(S21)
      QIM4=AIMAG(S22)
      QRE4=REAL(S22)

```



C DE-EMBEDDED OUTPUT

```

MAG11=CABS(S11)
MAG12=CABS(S12)
MAG21=CABS(S21)
MAG22=CABS(S22)
MDB11(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG11))
MDB12(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG12))
MDB21(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG21))
MDB22(I)=ABS(20*ALOG10(MAG22))
PSE11(I)=ATAN2(QIM1,QRE1)*180./PI
PSE12(I)=ATAN2(QIM2,QRE2)*180./PI
PSE21(I)=ATAN2(QIM3,QRE3)*180./PI
PSE22(I)=ATAN2(QIM4,QRE4)*180./PI

```

N=5680

X(I)=N+320.\*FLOAT(I)

WRITE(6,100) X(I),MDB11(I),MDB12(I),MDB21(I),MDB22(I),  
1 PSE11(I),PSE12(I),PSE21(I),PSE22(I)

100 FORMAT(' ',2X,F6.0,8(1X,F7.2))

10 CONTINUE

END

C \*\*\*\*\*

SUBROUTINE TMFS (D11,D12,D21,D22,I)

C \*\*\*\*\*

COMPLEX A11,A12,A21,A22

COMPLEX D11,D12,D21,D22

PI=4.\*ATAN(1.)

INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK 9

( BOTH FIXTURE + DEVICE )

21 READ(9,21)DB11,DB12,DB21,DB22,ANG11,ANG12,ANG21,ANG22  
FORMAT(8(F7.2))

V11=10.\*\*(-DB11/20.)

V12=10.\*\*(-DB12/20.)

V21=10.\*\*(-DB21/20.)

V22=10.\*\*(-DB22/20.)

RAD11=PI/180.\*ANG11

RAD12=PI/180.\*ANG12

RAD21=PI/180.\*ANG21

RAD22=PI/180.\*ANG22

QRE11=V11\*COS(RAD11)

QRE12=V12\*COS(RAD12)

QRE21=V21\*COS(RAD21)

QRE22=V22\*COS(RAD22)

QIM11=V11\*SIN(RAD11)

QIM12=V12\*SIN(RAD12)

QIM21=V21\*SIN(RAD21)

QIM22=V22\*SIN(RAD22)

```

A11=CMPLX(QRE11,QIM11)
A12=CMPLX(QRE12,QIM12)
A21=CMPLX(QRE21,QIM21)
A22=CMPLX(QRE22,QIM22)

D11=-(A11*A22-A12*A21)/A21
D12= A11/A21
D21=-A22/A21
D22=1/A21
RETURN
END

```

```

C *****
C SUBROUTINE FIX2(F11,F12,F21,F22)
C *****

```

```

COMPLEX A11,A12,A21,A22
COMPLEX B11,B12,B21,B22,W
COMPLEX C11,C12,C21,C22
COMPLEX F11,F12,F21,F22
PI=4.*ATAN(1.)

```

```

INPUT DATA FILE IS DISK 10
(FIXTURE 2 ONLY)

```

```

20 READ(10,20)DB11,DB12,DB21,DB22,ANG11,ANG12,ANG21,ANG22
   FORMAT(8(F7.2))

```

```

V11=10.**(-DB11/20.)
V12=10.**(-DB12/20.)
V21=10.**(-DB21/20.)
V22=10.**(-DB22/20.)
RAD11=PI/180.*ANG11
RAD12=PI/180.*ANG12
RAD21=PI/180.*ANG21
RAD22=PI/180.*ANG22

```

```

QRE11=V11*COS(RAD11)
QRE12=V12*COS(RAD12)
QRE21=V21*COS(RAD21)
QRE22=V22*COS(RAD22)
QIM11=V11*SIN(RAD11)
QIM12=V12*SIN(RAD12)
QIM21=V21*SIN(RAD21)
QIM22=V22*SIN(RAD22)

```

```

A11=CMPLX(QRE11,QIM11)
A12=CMPLX(QRE12,QIM12)
A21=CMPLX(QRE21,QIM21)
A22=CMPLX(QRE22,QIM22)

```

```

B11=-(A11*A22-A12*A21)/A21
B12= A11/A21

```

```

B21=-A22/A21
B22=1/A21

W= 1/(B11*B22-B21*B12)
F11=W*B22
F12=-W*B21
F21= -W*B12
F22= W*B11
RETURN
END

```

```

c *****
c The Input Data File for Disk8
c *****

```

14.48	.19	0.10	16.22	116.0	34.2	34.5	125.9
19.83	.20	0.11	14.01	154.9	-38.8	-39.5	148.9
22.21	.15	0.11	18.46	146.2	-113.3	-114.3	105.1
24.81	.10	0.14	19.94	142.8	170.8	170.2	139.2
14.41	.20	0.15	12.81	171.7	94.6	95.2	140.2
14.49	.09	0.11	14.74	133.1	21.3	21.0	104.3
22.80	.05	0.09	19.44	80.8	-54.8	-54.3	82.3
23.32	.10	0.10	19.30	179.7	-129.7	-129.3	78.0
17.71	.10	0.15	30.65	160.7	154.9	155.7	-16.9
18.60	.15	0.11	18.74	144.4	79.2	79.8	-162.4
14.23	.30	0.30	13.76	88.8	3.7	4.5	99.6
15.56	.26	0.30	16.14	54.1	-70.3	-69.4	43.3
19.00	.17	0.27	20.31	-19.0	-145.9	-144.3	-102.8
12.90	.10	0.20	27.01	-58.7	138.1	140.7	-133.6
17.20	.25	0.20	28.54	-103.0	64.4	65.6	25.3
17.25	.19	0.24	20.37	-97.6	-10.6	-9.5	-103.5
13.41	.20	0.25	15.86	-147.4	-86.7	-85.2	170.9
16.90	.31	0.33	21.53	-169.6	-160.3	-158.4	164.2
16.80	.25	0.20	25.37	-96.5	125.6	126.5	-133.1
25.28	.22	0.23	23.05	-134.6	50.0	50.4	51.9
20.14	.25	0.25	26.70	-102.3	-27.9	-25.8	-117.8

```

c *****
c The Input Data File for Disk9
c *****

```

.84	87.81	88.98	.72	-150.6	104.6	134.3	54.2
.86	88.94	90.45	.91	-9.1	-142.0	-137.8	-113.7
.96	78.95	77.70	.90	136.6	23.7	26.9	91.0
.75	71.67	71.43	1.03	-83.1	-163.8	-164.0	-86.6
.98	52.79	52.97	.62	45.7	-12.8	-10.2	105.1
.75	31.40	32.85	.83	150.7	128.9	116.1	-88.7
11.53	.86	1.10	11.92	-65.6	109.1	105.5	90.5
12.39	.70	.81	16.47	122.0	111.8	106.1	-92.1
8.93	1.40	1.45	10.13	91.8	163.7	158.1	60.5
28.89	.70	.58	25.84	81.8	-131.3	-138.1	-99.0
10.15	1.30	1.39	10.03	2.4	-49.0	-56.2	83.8
8.08	1.30	1.30	10.88	47.5	28.7	25.5	-168.1
11.25	.90	1.26	12.35	126.3	122.0	113.1	-73.0
12.65	1.02	.97	10.79	105.1	-145.4	-152.0	89.3
11.43	.96	1.00	11.70	-102.3	-58.4	-63.8	172.6
13.54	.80	.85	14.06	-4.7	30.6	24.6	-106.1
14.83	.90	.96	17.01	-157.9	119.8	111.8	-171.4
17.34	.85	1.20	17.21	-179.7	-155.6	-162.3	2.6
13.74	1.20	1.30	15.21	19.8	-79.2	-86.1	17.7
9.08	2.25	1.49	6.05	-44.1	-21.4	-27.4	-164.5
2.94	2.36	1.92	4.47	102.1	13.6	-.7	11.6

```

c *****
c The Input Data File for Disk10
c *****

```

15.09	-.06	-0.05	16.02	108.9	-140.0	-140.2	126.7
30.82	-.05	-0.05	20.28	-135.5	174.8	174.5	-170.7
18.58	-.03	-0.05	13.97	-179.6	129.7	129.5	156.8
13.28	-.05	-0.05	12.17	177.2	83.7	83.0	145.6
13.16	.05	-0.05	12.24	141.1	38.1	37.2	99.7
12.81	-.05	-0.01	15.70	124.5	-8.3	-9.9	69.2
14.34	.00	-0.04	20.53	93.6	-55.3	-55.7	4.3
15.07	.00	0.10	24.67	73.7	-101.9	-102.7	-116.6
15.10	.08	0.15	18.76	41.8	-149.5	-151.0	170.3
13.02	.21	0.70	12.90	11.8	162.3	159.0	102.3
9.46	.95	3.10	11.21	-58.4	112.3	112.1	29.0
9.55	4.65	3.20	6.64	-139.7	69.5	96.6	-62.1
13.00	2.21	0.67	7.73	164.4	55.3	49.2	-177.2
19.77	.51	0.20	12.85	73.3	4.0	-0.1	73.7
47.47	.10	0.06	17.81	-101.4	-46.7	-49.3	-15.8
25.71	.09	0.03	15.10	-116.8	-95.5	-96.6	-87.5
29.17	.10	0.05	18.08	-33.5	-142.5	-143.5	-117.6
28.38	.10	0.05	16.71	-129.8	173.3	171.8	-171.4
16.76	.05	0.02	22.72	-82.5	126.2	125.2	-138.2
22.48	.05	-0.02	26.39	-118.6	78.5	77.4	106.4
13.24	.15	0.10	18.52	-124.7	32.2	31.4	-103.4

## LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Richard Lane, *De-embedding Device Scattering Parameters*, Microwave Journal, Aug. 1984.
2. Dan Swanson, *Ferret Out Fixture Errors with Careful Calibration*, Microwaves, Jan. 1980.
3. H.A. Atwater, *Introduction to Microwave Theory*, 1981.
4. K.C. Gupta and Ramesh Garg, Rakesh Chadha, *Computer - Aided Design of Microwave Circuits*, 1981.
5. Albert R. Martin and Mordechai Dukeman, *Measurement of Device Parameters using a Symmetric Fixture*, Microwave Journal, May 1987.
6. Norman R. Franzen and Ross A. Speciale, *A New Procedure For System Calibration and Error Removal in Automated S-Parameter Measurements*, 5th European Microwave Conference, 1975.
7. Ross A. Speciale, *A Generalization of the TSD Network Analyzer Calibration Procedure, Covering n-port Scattering parameter Measurements Affected by Leakage Errors*, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-25, No. 12, Dec. 1977.
8. W. Kruppa and Kenneth F. Sodemsky, *An Explicit Solution for the Scattering parameters of a Linear Two-Port Measured with an Imperfect Test Set*, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Jan. 1971.
9. O.J. Davies, R.B. Doshi, and B. Nagenthiram, *Correction of Microwave-Network Analyzer Measurements of 2-Port Devices*, Electronics Letters Vol. 9, No. 23, Nov. 1973.

10. Ronard F. Bauer and Paul Penfield, Jr., *De-embedding and Unterminating*, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-22, No. 3, Mar. 1974.
11. Rimantas L. Vaitkus, *Wide-Band De-embedding with a Short, an Open, and a Through Line*, Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 74, No. 1, Jan. 1986.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5002	2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 62 Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	1
4.	Prof. H.A. Atwater, Code 62AN Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	1
5.	Prof. G. Edward Roberts, Code 62RY Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	1
6.	Air Force Central Library Sindaebang Dong, Kwanak Gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea	2
7.	Library of Air Force Academy Chongwon Gun, Chung Cheong Bug Do Republic of Korea	2
8.	Lee, Whan Su 500-05 Wha Jeong Dong Ju Gong APT. 14 Dong 405, Gwang Ju City, Republic of Korea	5
9.	Suwito Kardisan Diretorat Pendidikan-Mabesau JL. Jenderal Gatotsubroto 76 Jakarta, Indonesia	1
10.	Chung, Jae Soon SMC #1153 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1

11. Yim, Jae Yong  
SMC #1216  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
12. Siregar, A.Z  
SMC #2471  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943












Thesis  
L42585 Lee  
c.1 The de-embedment of  
network-analyzer measure-  
ments.

Thesis  
L42585 Lee  
c.1 The de-embedment of  
network-analyzer measure-  
ments.



thesL42585

The de-embedment of network-analyzer mea



3 2768 000 78463 1

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY